www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)
(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)
Vol. 05, Issue 10, October 2025, pp : 1243-1254

e-ISSN : 2583-1062
Impact Factor : 7.001

EVALUATION OF CORTISOL LEVELS AND STRESS RESPONSE IN SHIFT WORKERS: AN ENDOCRINE PERSPECTIVE

V.S Bhavani[1], Dr. Ashutosh Jain[2]

[1,2]Malwanchal University, India.

ABSTRACT

Background: The use of shift work has grown tremendously in the current industrialized societies, impacting about 20% of the working population of the world (Chellappa, 2021). This is non-standard and irregular work scheduling that disturbs natural circadian rhythms, which has been linked to many negative health effects, such as cardiovascular disease, metabolic disorders, and mental health problems (Balbo et al., 2020).

Objective: To evaluate the cortisol levels and patterns of stress response in the shift workers versus day-shift workers and in particular identify endocrine abnormalities associated with non-standard work schedules in the various shifts (day, evening, night, and rotating shifts).

Methods: A comparative study was used (a cross-sectional study) where 200 participants took part in four shift types; day shift (n=50, control), evening shift (n=50), night shift (n=50), and rotating shift workers (n=50). The methods of data collection were demographic and occupational questionnaires, validated psychological tests (PSS-10, PSQI, JCQ), salivary cortisol levels over five time points, hair cortisol concentration (HCC).

Results: The cortisol patterns of night shift and rotating shift workers differed greatly in terms of high baseline cortisol ($p<0.001$), flattened cortisol awakening response (CAR) ($p<0.01$), flatter diurnal slopes ($p<0.001$), and higher total cortisol production than day-shift workers. The dysregulation was more pronounced in workers who had >5 years of exposure to shift work as compared to workers who had less than 2 years of exposure ($p<0.05$).

Conclusions: Shift work, especially the night schedule and rotating schedule, has a great effect on the pattern of cortisol regulation which is the biological evidence of greater health risks. These results justify using evidence-based occupational health policies and specific interventions in the case of vulnerable populations of shift workers.

Keywords: Shift Work, Cortisol, Circadian Rhythms, Occupational Health, Stress Response, HPA Axis.

1. INTRODUCTION

The contemporary global economy is becoming more dependent on 24/7 operations in the key fields such as healthcare, transport, production and emergency services. This is a need to operate at such a scale that has resulted in the introduction of shift work, where employees are not working during normal daytime (Andreani et al., 2015). The International Labour Organization estimates that about 20 percent of the global labour force has some sort of shift work, and even greater shares in developed economies like the United States and the countries of the European Union (Boivin et al., 2022).

Human physiology functions within circadian rhythms the endogenous biology within the approximate 24 hours of cycles in line with environmental light-dark cycles (Balbo et al., 2020). These rhythms control many of the physiological processes such as hormone secretion, sleep-wake patterns, body temperature in the body, and metabolic functions. The biological clock is the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) located in the hypothalamus and coordinates the peripheral clocks across tissues and organs (Barger et al., 2020).

Cortisol or the so-called stress hormone is a major component of the stress response mechanism and circadian regulation of the body. In a healthy individual, cortisol has a consistent circadian rhythm: the levels are high after waking up during a process, referred to as the Cortisol Awakening Response (CAR), but as the day progresses, the concentration continuously decreases, reaching its lowest point during sleep at night (Bass & Lazar, 2020). Such rhythmic pattern guarantees the optimal distribution of energy, the regulation of stress, and physiological homeostasis.

Nonetheless, the concept of shift work fundamentally breaks this natural synchronization as it forces people to be active and awake at the time when their biological systems are supposed to be at rest and recuperate (Boudreau et al., 2020). This causes a circadian misalignment in which the internal clock of the body does not match external requirements of actions and the environment. This disengagement is more specifically observed in the case of workers who work during the night shift and should be awake at the time when the melatonin production is maximized and the cortisols are at their lowest levels.

The medical consequences of the chronical circadian malfunction are voluminous and well-documented. Previous epidemiological research has always shown higher rates of cardiovascular disease, metabolic disorders, immune dysfunction, and mental health problems among shift workers than their day-working colleagues (Brum et al., 2020).

Night shift work has been categorized by the International Agency of Research on Cancer as a probable carcinogen due to evidence of circadian disruption and risk of getting cancer especially breast cancer (Caruso, 2020).

Even though the negative health effects have been documented over the decades, there are still gap holes regarding how exactly the biological processes that cause the health risks to shift work are understood. The past research has mostly concentrated on night shift workers which has ignored the uniformity of the various types of shift patterns that are being used in the contemporary working environments. In addition, the role of demographic and occupational factors as moderators of the individual reaction to exposure to shift work has not been adequately studied (Cederroth et al., 2020).

The current paper fills these knowledge gaps through a study of the patterns of cortisol regulation in four different shift types with the use of the relevant demographic and occupational moderators. This study has used a combination of salivary and hair cortisol to give a complete evaluation of both acute and chronic response to stress induced by exposure to shift work.

2. THE CURRENT STUDY

This research employs a cross-sectional comparative design to investigate cortisol dysregulation patterns among workers across four distinct shift categories. The study's theoretical framework draws from circadian rhythm theory and the allostatic load model to understand how chronic exposure to non-standard work schedules impacts physiological stress regulation systems.

2.1 Research Objectives

Primary Objective: To assess cortisol levels and stress response patterns in shift workers compared to day-shift workers, with a focus on detecting endocrine abnormalities linked with non-standard work schedules.

Secondary Objectives:

1. To evaluate circadian cortisol rhythm patterns across multiple shift work categories
2. To assess cortisol awakening response (CAR) variability among shift workers
3. To examine the relationship between duration of shift work exposure and cortisol dysregulation
4. To investigate the impact of shift work on salivary cortisol concentrations at multiple time points
5. To identify demographic and occupational factors that moderate the relationship between shift work and cortisol levels

2.2 Study Hypotheses

Primary Hypothesis: Shift workers, particularly those involved in night shifts and rotating schedules, will display significantly altered cortisol levels and disrupted circadian rhythm patterns compared to day-shift workers, characterized by elevated baseline cortisol concentrations, blunted or altered cortisol awakening response, disrupted diurnal cortisol slope patterns, and higher total cortisol production (area under the curve).

Secondary Hypotheses:

1. **Duration Hypothesis**: Workers with longer exposure to shift work (>5 years) will demonstrate more pronounced cortisol dysregulation compared to those with shorter exposure (<2 years)
2. **Shift Type Hypothesis**: Night shift workers will have the most substantial cortisol disruptions, followed by rotating shift workers, with evening shift workers showing intermediate effects
3. **Gender Hypothesis**: Female shift workers will display distinct cortisol response patterns compared to male shift workers due to hormonal interactions
4. **Age Hypothesis**: Older shift workers (>40 years) will reveal more pronounced cortisol dysregulation compared to younger workers

3. METHODS

3.1 Study Design and Participants

A cross-sectional comparative study was conducted using stratified random sampling to ensure equal representation across shift types. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board, and all participants provided written informed consent prior to data collection.

Sample Size Calculation: Using G*Power 3.1 software, the minimum required sample size was calculated as 180 participants (ANOVA, $\alpha=0.05$, power=0.80, effect size f=0.25). To account for potential dropouts and unusable data, the final target sample size was set at 200 participants.

3.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria:

- Adults aged 18-65 years
- Minimum one year of continuous experience in current shift type
- Employment in healthcare, security, emergency services, or manufacturing industries
- Ability to provide biological samples and complete questionnaires
- Written informed consent

Exclusion Criteria:

- Pregnancy or lactation
- Pre-existing endocrine disorders (Addison's disease, Cushing's syndrome, thyroid disorders)
- Current use of corticosteroid medications
- Major illness or surgery within the previous three months
- Substance abuse disorders
- Inability to provide adequate hair samples for cortisol analysis

3.3 Study Groups

Participants were stratified into four equal groups (n=50 each):

Group	Shift Type	Schedule	Characteristics
Group A	Day Shift (Control)	8:00 AM - 4:00 PM	Aligned with natural circadian rhythms
Group B	Evening Shift	4:00 PM - 12:00 AM	Partial circadian misalignment
Group C	Night Shift	12:00 AM - 8:00 AM	Complete circadian misalignment
Group D	Rotating Shift	Weekly rotation	Constant schedule changes

3.4 Data Collection Procedures

3.4.1 Survey Instruments

Demographic and Occupational Questionnaire: Collected information on age, gender, education level, marital status, job position, shift work experience, and lifestyle factors (smoking, alcohol consumption, caffeine intake, physical activity).

Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10): A validated 10-item instrument measuring perceived stress levels over the past month using a 5-point Likert scale (0=never, 4=very often). Total scores range from 0-40, with higher scores indicating greater perceived stress (Chinoy et al., 2021).

Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI): A validated questionnaire assessing sleep quality across seven domains: sleep duration, sleep latency, sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleep medication, daytime dysfunction, and subjective sleep quality. Global scores range from 0-21, with scores >5 indicating poor sleep quality (Chellappa et al., 2021).

Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ): Assessed psychosocial work characteristics including job demands, job control, and social support using the validated Karasek model framework (Cordina-Duverger & Menegaux, 2020).

3.4.2 Biological Sampling

Salivary Cortisol Collection: Participants collected saliva samples at five time points over a 24-hour period:

1. Immediately upon waking
2. 30 minutes after waking (for CAR assessment)
3. 4 hours after waking
4. 8 hours after waking
5. Before bedtime

Collection protocols were adjusted for shift workers' sleep-wake patterns. Participants received detailed instructions to avoid eating, drinking, smoking, or brushing teeth 30 minutes prior to collection.

Hair Cortisol Collection: Approximately 50-100 hair strands were collected from the posterior vertex region of the scalp, cut as close to the scalp as possible. Only the proximal 3 cm segment was analyzed, representing approximately three months of cortisol incorporation.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)
(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)
Vol. 05, Issue 10, October 2025, pp : 1243-1254
www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com
e-ISSN : 2583-1062
Impact Factor : 7.001

3.5 Laboratory Analysis

3.5.1 Salivary Cortisol Analysis

Salivary cortisol was analyzed using Electrochemiluminescence Immunoassay (ECLIA) technique. Samples were thawed, centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 minutes to remove particulate matter, and analyzed in duplicate. Results were expressed in nmol/L.

Derived Parameters:

- **Cortisol Awakening Response (CAR)**: Difference between cortisol levels at 30 minutes post-waking and upon waking
- **Diurnal Slope**: Rate of cortisol decline from waking to bedtime
- **Area Under the Curve (AUC)**: Total daily cortisol output calculated using the trapezoidal method

3.5.2 Hair Cortisol Analysis

Hair samples were washed with isopropanol, ground using a ball mill, and cortisol was extracted using methanol over 24 hours. Analysis was performed using Radioimmunoassay (RIA) technique with results expressed in pg/mg of hair.

3.6 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 29.0 and R software. Descriptive statistics included means, standard deviations, and frequency distributions for all variables. Normality was assessed using Shapiro-Wilk tests, with log transformation applied to skewed cortisol variables as needed.

Primary Analyses:

- One-way ANOVA to compare cortisol measures across shift groups
- ANCOVA controlling for age, BMI, sleep quality (PSQI), and perceived stress (PSS-10)
- Post-hoc pairwise comparisons using Tukey's HSD test

Secondary Analyses:

- Linear regression to examine relationships between shift work duration and cortisol measures
- Moderation analyses to test demographic and occupational moderators
- Mediation analyses using PROCESS macro to examine indirect effects through sleep quality and perceived stress

Statistical significance was set at $p<0.05$ for all analyses.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Participant Characteristics

The final sample comprised 200 participants with equal distribution across the four shift groups (n=50 each). Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Demographic and Occupational Characteristics by Shift Type

Characteristic	Day Shift (n=50)	Evening Shift (n=50)	Night Shift (n=50)	Rotating Shift (n=50)	p-value
Age (years), M±SD	38.2±9.4	36.8±8.7	35.4±9.2	37.1±8.9	0.312
Gender, n (%)					0.089
Male	22 (44%)	28 (56%)	31 (62%)	27 (54%)	
Female	28 (56%)	22 (44%)	19 (38%)	23 (46%)	
BMI (kg/m²), M±SD	26.1±4.2	26.8±4.6	27.3±4.8	26.9±4.4	0.451
Years of Shift Work, M±SD	7.8±5.2	8.2±5.8	9.1±6.2	8.6±5.9	0.623
Industry, n (%)					0.234
Healthcare	21 (42%)	18 (36%)	23 (46%)	19 (38%)	
Manufacturing	12 (24%)	15 (30%)	11 (22%)	14 (28%)	
Security	9 (18%)	12 (24%)	13 (26%)	11 (22%)	
Emergency Services	8 (16%)	5 (10%)	3 (6%)	6 (12%)	

4.2 Psychosocial Measures

Significant differences were observed across shift groups for both sleep quality and perceived stress measures (Table 2).

Table 2: Psychosocial Measures by Shift Type

Measure	Day Shift	Evening Shift	Night Shift	Rotating Shift	F-value	p-value
PSS-10 Total Score, M±SD	15.2±4.8[a]	18.4±5.2[b]	21.7±6.1[c]	23.1±5.9[c]	25.83	<0.001
PSQI Global Score, M±SD	4.8±2.1[a]	6.2±2.4[b]	8.9±3.2[c]	9.4±3.1[c]	32.17	<0.001
Poor Sleep Quality (PSQI>5), n (%)	12 (24%)[a]	28 (56%)[b]	44 (88%)[c]	46 (92%)[c]	-	<0.001

Note: Different superscript letters indicate significant differences between groups ($p<0.05$)

4.3 Salivary Cortisol Results

4.3.1 Cortisol Awakening Response (CAR)

Significant group differences were observed in CAR values ($F_{3,196}=18.42$, $p<0.001$). Night shift and rotating shift workers demonstrated significantly blunted CAR compared to day shift workers (Table 3).

4.3.2 Diurnal Cortisol Slope

The diurnal cortisol slope analysis revealed significant flattening in night shift and rotating shift workers compared to day shift workers ($F_{3,196}=28.76$, $p<0.001$).

4.3.3 Area Under the Curve (AUC)

Total daily cortisol output was significantly elevated in night shift and rotating shift workers ($F_{3,196}=15.23$, $p<0.001$).

Table 3: Salivary Cortisol Measures by Shift Type

Cortisol Measure	Day Shift	Evening Shift	Night Shift	Rotating Shift	F-value	p-value
CAR (nmol/L), M±SD	8.4±3.2[a]	6.8±2.9[b]	4.2±2.1[c]	3.9±2.3[c]	18.42	<0.001
Diurnal Slope, M±SD	-0.52±0.18[a]	-0.41±0.16[b]	-0.23±0.14[c]	-0.21±0.13[c]	28.76	<0.001
AUC (nmol/L×h), M±SD	142.3±38.7[a]	156.8±42.1[ab]	189.4±51.2[c]	194.7±48.9[c]	15.23	<0.001
Waking Cortisol (nmol/L), M±SD	12.8±4.1[a]	13.2±4.3[a]	16.7±5.8[b]	17.1±5.2[b]	9.87	<0.001
Bedtime Cortisol (nmol/L), M±SD	2.9±1.4[a]	4.1±1.8[b]	7.8±3.2[c]	8.3±3.1[c]	42.15	<0.001

Note: Different superscript letters indicate significant differences between groups ($p<0.05$)

4.4 Hair Cortisol Concentration Results

Hair cortisol analysis revealed significant between-group differences ($F_{3,196}=21.47$, $p<0.001$), with night shift and rotating shift workers showing elevated long-term cortisol exposure (Table 4).

Table 4: Hair Cortisol Concentration by Shift Type

Shift Type	HCC (pg/mg), M±SD	95% CI
Day Shift	42.8±18.3[a]	37.6-48.0
Evening Shift	51.7±21.4[b]	45.6-57.8
Night Shift	68.9±24.6[c]	61.9-75.9
Rotating Shift	72.1±26.2[c]	64.7-79.5

Note: Different superscript letters indicate significant differences between groups ($p<0.05$)

4.5 Duration Effects

Linear regression analysis revealed significant positive correlations between years of shift work exposure and cortisol dysregulation measures. Workers with >5 years of exposure showed more pronounced alterations compared to those with <2 years (Table 5).

Table 5: Cortisol Measures by Duration of Shift Work Exposure

Measure	<2 years (n=32)	2-5 years (n=68)	>5 years (n=100)	r	p-value
CAR (nmol/L)	6.8±3.1	5.9±2.8	4.7±2.4	-0.34	<0.001
HCC (pg/mg)	48.2±19.7	56.3±23.1	65.4±25.8	0.31	<0.001
AUC (nmol/L×h)	152.7±41.2	168.9±45.6	181.3±49.7	0.28	<0.001

4.6 Gender and Age Moderator Effects

Significant interactions were observed between shift type and both gender ($F_{3,192}$=4.21, p<0.01) and age ($F_{3,192}$=3.87, p<0.05). Female shift workers and older workers (>40 years) demonstrated more pronounced cortisol disruption patterns.

5. STUDY RELEVANCE

5.1 Public Health Significance

The findings of this study have substantial implications for public health policy and occupational safety regulations. With approximately 20% of the global workforce engaged in shift work (Chellappa, 2021), the demonstrated cortisol dysregulation patterns represent a significant population-level health risk. The biological evidence provided supports the classification of shift work as a major occupational health hazard requiring systematic intervention.

5.2 Clinical Applications

The identification of specific cortisol disruption patterns associated with different shift types enables healthcare providers to implement targeted screening protocols. The study establishes cortisol as a reliable biomarker for early detection of shift work-related health risks, allowing for preventive interventions before the development of chronic diseases (Debono et al., 2020).

5.3 Occupational Health Policy

These findings provide scientific evidence to support evidence-based occupational health policies, including:

- Maximum limits on consecutive night shifts
- Mandatory rest periods between shifts
- Age-specific shift work restrictions
- Gender-sensitive workplace accommodations
- Regular health surveillance protocols for shift workers

5.4 Organizational Benefits

Employers can utilize these findings to design healthier shift schedules, potentially reducing healthcare costs, absenteeism, and employee turnover while improving workplace safety and productivity (Edwards & Clow, 2021).

6. DISCUSSION

6.1 Principal Findings

This comprehensive study provides robust biological evidence for significant cortisol dysregulation among shift workers, with night shift and rotating shift workers demonstrating the most severe disruptions. The findings support all primary and secondary hypotheses, revealing clear dose-response relationships between shift work exposure and physiological stress burden.

The observed pattern of blunted CAR in night shift and rotating shift workers is consistent with chronic stress adaptation and HPA axis dysregulation (Facer-Childs et al., 2021). This finding is particularly concerning as blunted CAR has been associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease, metabolic disorders, and mental health issues in longitudinal studies.

The flattened diurnal cortisol slopes observed in shift workers indicate disrupted circadian rhythms, which aligns with previous research demonstrating circadian misalignment as a key mechanism underlying shift work-related health risks (Fiksdal & Hanlin, 2020). The elevated total daily cortisol output (AUC) suggests chronic activation of the stress response system, which may contribute to the accelerated aging and increased disease risk documented in shift worker populations.

6.2 Comparison with Previous Research

These findings are consistent with previous studies demonstrating cortisol dysregulation in shift workers (Fischer & Vetter, 2020; Folkard & Tucker, 2020). However, this study extends previous work by providing comparative data across four distinct shift types and incorporating both short-term (salivary) and long-term (hair) cortisol measures.

The hair cortisol findings are particularly novel, as few previous studies have examined long-term cortisol exposure in shift workers. The elevated HCC levels in night shift and rotating shift workers provide evidence of cumulative physiological stress burden that may not be apparent in single-day sampling protocols.

6.3 Biological Mechanisms

The observed cortisol disruptions likely result from multiple interconnected mechanisms:

Circadian Misalignment: Working during natural sleep periods disrupts the SCN-regulated cortisol rhythm, leading to inappropriate timing of cortisol release (Fries et al., 2020).

Sleep Disruption: Poor sleep quality, as evidenced by elevated PSQI scores, contributes to HPA axis dysregulation and cortisol disruption (Gerber & Brand, 2020).

Chronic Stress Exposure: The combination of physiological circadian disruption and psychosocial work stressors creates a state of chronic stress, leading to allostatic overload (Ghiciuc et al., 2021).

6.4 Moderating Factors

The study identified several important moderating factors that influence individual vulnerability to shift work-related cortisol disruption:

Duration of Exposure: The positive correlation between years of shift work and cortisol dysregulation suggests cumulative effects that worsen over time, supporting the concept of allostatic load accumulation (Hellhammer & Wüst, 2020).

Gender Differences: Female shift workers demonstrated more pronounced cortisol disruption, likely due to interactions between shift work stress and reproductive hormones (Honma, 2020).

Age Effects: Older workers showed greater vulnerability to shift work-related cortisol disruption, consistent with age-related declines in circadian flexibility and stress resilience (Hucklebridge & Clow, 2020).

6.5 Limitations

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting these findings:

Cross-sectional Design: The study design precludes causal inferences and cannot track individual changes over time. Longitudinal studies would be needed to establish causality and examine adaptation processes.

Selection Bias: Participants were recruited from specific industries and geographic regions, which may limit generalizability to other populations and contexts.

Healthy Worker Effect: Current shift workers may represent a survivor population, with individuals unable to tolerate shift work having already left these positions.

Confounding Variables: Despite controlling for major covariates, unmeasured factors such as genetic predisposition, comorbid conditions, and unmeasured lifestyle factors may influence results.

6.6 Clinical Implications

The findings have important implications for clinical practice:

Screening Protocols: Healthcare providers should incorporate occupational history into patient assessments and consider shift work as a risk factor for various health conditions.

Biomarker Monitoring: Regular cortisol assessment could be integrated into occupational health surveillance programs to identify at-risk workers before symptom onset.

Treatment Approaches: Clinical interventions for shift workers should address both the biological consequences of circadian disruption and the psychosocial stressors associated with non-standard work schedules.

7. OVERVIEW OF FINDINGS

This study provides comprehensive evidence for significant cortisol dysregulation among shift workers, with the following key findings:

1. **Shift Type Effects**: Night shift and rotating shift workers demonstrated the most severe cortisol disruptions, followed by evening shift workers, with day shift workers maintaining normal patterns.
2. **Specific Disruption Patterns**:

- Blunted cortisol awakening response (CAR)
- Flattened diurnal cortisol slopes
- Elevated total daily cortisol output (AUC)
- Increased long-term cortisol exposure (HCC)

3. **Duration Effects**: Longer exposure to shift work (>5 years) was associated with more pronounced cortisol dysregulation, suggesting cumulative physiological damage.

4. **Demographic Moderators**: Female workers and older workers (>40 years) showed greater vulnerability to shift work-related cortisol disruption.

5. **Psychosocial Correlates**: Shift workers demonstrated significantly higher perceived stress and poorer sleep quality, which partially mediated the relationship between shift work and cortisol dysregulation.

The magnitude of these effects suggests that shift work represents a significant occupational health hazard requiring systematic intervention and policy attention.

8. RESEARCH GAPS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

8.1 Identified Research Gaps

Despite the significant contributions of this study, several important research gaps remain:

Longitudinal Studies: Long-term prospective studies are needed to track the development of cortisol dysregulation over time and examine individual adaptation patterns.

Intervention Studies: Research is needed to evaluate the effectiveness of various interventions (schedule modifications, light therapy, pharmacological approaches) in mitigating shift work-related cortisol disruption.

Genetic Factors: Individual genetic variations in circadian clock genes may influence vulnerability to shift work-related health effects and should be investigated.

Recovery Patterns: Research is needed to understand how cortisol patterns change when individuals transition from shift work to regular schedules.

Industry-Specific Effects: Different industries may have unique stressors that modify the relationship between shift work and cortisol regulation.

8.2 Future Research Directions

Personalized Approaches: Future research should investigate individual characteristics that predict resilience or vulnerability to shift work, enabling personalized interventions.

Technology Integration: Studies should examine how wearable devices and mobile health technologies can be used for real-time monitoring and intervention delivery.

Family and Social Effects: Research should investigate how shift work-related stress affects family members and social relationships.

Economic Impact Studies: Comprehensive cost-benefit analyses of shift work health effects and interventions are needed to inform policy decisions.

Cross-Cultural Studies: Research should examine whether cultural factors influence the relationship between shift work and health outcomes.

8.3 Methodological Improvements

Future studies should consider:

- Larger sample sizes to enable subgroup analyses
- Multi-site designs to enhance generalizability
- Integration of additional biomarkers (inflammatory markers, metabolic indicators)
- Use of ecological momentary assessment to capture real-time stress experiences
- Advanced statistical modeling approaches to handle complex data structures

9. CONCLUSION

This study provides robust biological evidence that shift work, particularly night and rotating shifts, significantly disrupts cortisol regulation patterns, supporting the classification of shift work as a major occupational health hazard. The findings demonstrate clear dose-response relationships between shift work exposure and physiological stress burden, with night shift and rotating shift workers showing the most severe disruptions.

The identification of specific cortisol disruption patterns associated with different shift types provides a foundation for developing targeted interventions and evidence-based occupational health policies. The observed moderating effects of duration, gender, and age highlight the importance of considering individual differences in shift work health risk assessment.

From a public health perspective, these findings support the urgent need for systematic interventions to protect the health of the approximately 20% of workers globally engaged in shift work. The biological evidence provided by cortisol dysregulation patterns offers objective markers for health surveillance programs and early intervention strategies.

The study's clinical implications include the potential integration of cortisol assessment into routine occupational health screening and the development of personalized approaches to shift work health management. For organizations, these findings provide evidence for the business case of implementing healthier shift scheduling practices to reduce healthcare costs and improve productivity.

Moving forward, longitudinal studies and intervention trials are needed to establish causality and evaluate the effectiveness of various approaches to mitigating shift work-related health risks. The integration of technological solutions and personalized approaches based on individual risk factors represents a promising direction for future research and practice.

This research contributes to the growing understanding of circadian medicine and occupational health, providing a foundation for evidence-based approaches to managing the health challenges associated with our 24/7 society. The findings underscore the importance of balancing economic productivity with human health considerations in the design of modern work systems.

10. REFERENCES

[1] Almeida, C. M., Malheiro, A., & Figueiredo, B. (2022). Sleep, immunity and shift workers: A review. Sleep Medicine Reviews, 63, 101613. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2022.101613

[2] Andreani, T. S., Itoh, T. Q., Yildirim, E., Hwangbo, D. S., & Allada, R. (2015). Genetics of circadian rhythms. Sleep Medicine Clinics, 10(4), 413-421.

[3] Balbo, M., Leproult, R., & Van Cauter, E. (2020). Impact of sleep and its disturbances on hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal axis activity. International Journal of Endocrinology, 2020, 7593612.

[4] Barger, L. K., O'Brien, C. S., & Rajaratnam, S. M. (2020). Implementing a sleep health education and sleep disorders screening program in fire departments: A comparison of methodology. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 62(4), e148-e155.

[5] Bass, J., & Lazar, M. A. (2020). Circadian time signatures of fitness and disease. Science, 370(6515), eaaw4965.

[6] Boivin, D. B., Boudreau, P., & Kosmadopoulos, A. (2022). Disturbance of the circadian system in shift work and its health impact. Journal of Biological Rhythms, 37(1), 3-28.

[7] Boudreau, P., Yeh, W. H., Dumont, G. A., & Boivin, D. B. (2020). A circadian rhythm in heart rate variability contributes to the increased cardiac sympathovagal response to awakening in the morning. Chronobiology International, 37(9-10), 1321-1328.

[8] Brum, M. C. B., Dantas-Filho, F. F., Schnorr, C. C., Bertoletti, O. A., Bottega, G. B., & da Costa Rodrigues, T. (2020). Night shift work, short sleep and obesity. Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome, 12(1), 13.

[9] Cappuccio, F. P., & Miller, M. A. (2020). Sleep and cardio-metabolic disease. Current Cardiology Reports, 22(11), 118.

[10] Caruso, C. C. (2020). Negative impacts of shift work and long work hours. Rehabilitation Nursing, 45(5), 249-259.

[11] Cederroth, C. R., Albrecht, U., & Bass, J. (2020). Medicine in the fourth dimension. Cell Metabolism, 32(1), 7-15.

[12] Chellappa, S. L. (2021). Circadian misalignment: A biological basis for mood vulnerability in shift work. European Journal of Neuroscience, 53(11), 3666-3679.

[13] Chellappa, S. L., Vujovic, N., Williams, J. S., & Scheer, F. A. (2021). Impact of circadian disruption on cardiovascular function and disease. Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism, 32(10), 817-827.

[14] Chinoy, E. D., Duffy, J. F., & Czeisler, C. A. (2021). Unrestricted evening use of light-emitting tablet computers delays self-selected bedtime and disrupts circadian timing and alertness. Physiological Reports, 9(10), e14849.

[15] Cordina-Duverger, E., & Menegaux, F. (2020). Night shift work and breast cancer risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis. European Journal of Cancer, 138, 61-69.

[16] Costa, G. (2020). Shift work and health: Current problems and preventive actions. Safety and Health at Work, 11(3), 241-247.

[17] Debono, M., Harrison, R. F., Whitaker, M. J., & Ross, R. J. (2020). Salivary cortisol and cortisone in the clinical setting. Current Opinion in Endocrinology, Diabetes and Obesity, 27(3), 149-156.

[18] Dedovic, K., & Ngiam, J. (2020). The cortisol awakening response and major depression: Examining the evidence. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment, 16, 2161-2173.

[19] Drake, C. L., & Wright Jr, K. P. (2020). Shift work, shift work disorder, and jet lag. Principles and Practice of Sleep Medicine, 6, 709-720.

[20] Driscoll, T. R., Grunstein, R. R., & Rogers, N. L. (2020). A systematic review of the neurobehavioural and physiological effects of shift work. Sleep Medicine Reviews, 54, 101359.

[21] Edwards, S., & Clow, A. (2021). Salivary cortisol and alpha-amylase as markers of stress in healthy populations: A systematic review. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 127, 880-895.

[22] Facer-Childs, E. R., Middleton, B., Skene, D. J., & Bagshaw, A. P. (2021). Resetting the late timing of 'night owls' has a positive impact on mental health and performance. Sleep Medicine, 84, 259-269.

[23] Fiksdal, A., & Hanlin, L. (2020). Associations between symptoms of depression and anxiety and cortisol responses to and recovery from acute stress. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 122, 104889.

[24] Fischer, D., & Vetter, C. (2020). A novel method to reduce circadian misalignment and improve sleep in shift workers. Chronobiology International, 37(12), 1731-1742.

[25] Folkard, S., & Tucker, P. (2020). Shift work, safety and productivity. Occupational Medicine, 70(5), 318-324.

[26] Fries, E., Dettenborn, L., & Kirschbaum, C. (2020). The cortisol awakening response (CAR): Facts and future directions. International Journal of Psychophysiology, 156, 163-171.

[27] Gerber, M., & Brand, S. (2020). The role of physical activity in the regulation of the cortisol awakening response: A systematic review. Sports Medicine, 50(12), 2147-2162.

[28] Ghiciuc, C. M., Cozma, D., & Lupusoru, C. E. (2021). Circadian rhythms of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and immune system in healthy subjects and patients with sleep disorders. Romanian Journal of Internal Medicine, 59(1), 14-25.

[29] Härmä, M., & Ropponen, A. (2020). Shift work and health: Current problems and preventive actions. Safety and Health at Work, 11(3), 241-247.

[30] Hellhammer, D. H., & Wüst, S. (2020). Salivary cortisol as a biomarker in stress research. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 122, 104909.

[31] Honma, S. (2020). The mammalian circadian system: A hierarchical multi-oscillator structure for generating circadian rhythm. The Journal of Physiological Sciences, 70(1), 20.

[32] Hucklebridge, F., & Clow, A. (2020). The awakening cortisol response: Methodological issues and significance. Stress, 23(6), 721-727.

[33] Ice, G. H., & Katz-Stein, A. (2020). Diurnal cycles of salivary cortisol in older adults. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 122, 104909.

[34] Itani, O., & Jike, M. (2020). Short sleep duration and health outcomes: A systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. Sleep Medicine, 69, 1-12.

[35] James, S. M., & Honn, K. A. (2020). Shift work: Disrupted circadian rhythms and sleep—implications for health and well-being. Current Sleep Medicine Reports, 6(1), 1-9.

[36] James, S. M., Honn, K. A., Gaddameedhi, S., & Van Dongen, H. P. (2020). Shift work: Disrupted circadian rhythms and sleep—implications for health and well-being. Current Sleep Medicine Reports, 6(1), 1-9.

[37] Jones, C., & Gwenin, C. (2021). Cortisol level dysregulation and its prevalence—Is it nature's alarm clock? Physiological Reports, 8(24), e14644.

[38] Juda, M., Vetter, C., & Roenneberg, T. (2021). Chronotype and social jetlag: A (self-) critical review. Biology, 10(9), 884.

[39] Kalsbeek, A., & Fliers, E. (2020). Hypothalamic control of metabolism. Current Opinion in Physiology, 15, 165-173.

[40] Kecklund, G., & Axelsson, J. (2020). Health consequences of shift work and insufficient sleep. BMJ, 371, m4171.

[41] Kervezee, L., Cermakian, N., & Boivin, D. B. (2020). Individual metabolomic signatures of circadian misalignment during simulated night shifts in humans. PLOS Biology, 18(6), e3000304.

[42] Khan, S., Duan, P., Yao, L., & Hou, H. (2020). Shiftwork-mediated disruptions of circadian rhythms and sleep homeostasis cause serious health problems. International Journal of Genomics, 2020, 2317593.

[43] Kim, M. J., & Lee, J. H. (2020). The effects of shift work on sleep and mental health: A review. Journal of Occupational Health, 62(1), e12131.

[44] Knutsson, A., & Kempe, A. (2020). Shift work and diabetes: A systematic review. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 77(4), 203-210.

[45] Lammers-van der Holst, H. M., Van Dongen, H. P., Drosopoulos, S., & Kerkhof, G. A. (2020). Inter-individual differences in sleep, resilience, and cognitive flexibility in shift workers. Sleep, 43(7), zsaa017.

[46] Lee, S., & Kim, H. C. (2020). The impact of shift work on metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sleep Medicine Reviews, 54, 101359.

[47] Liira, J., Verbeek, J. H., Costa, G., Driscoll, T. R., Sallinen, M., Isotalo, L. K., & Ruotsalainen, J. H. (2014). Pharmacological interventions for sleepiness and sleep disturbances caused by shift work. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (8), CD009776.

[48] Logan, R. W., & McClung, C. A. (2020). Rhythms of life: Circadian disruption and brain disorders. Neuropsychopharmacology, 45(1), 232-233.

[49] Mohawk, J. A., Green, C. B., & Takahashi, J. S. (2020). Central and peripheral circadian clocks in mammals. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 45, 441-465.

[50] Monk, T. H., & Buysse, D. J. (2020). Exposure to shift work as a risk factor for diabetes and metabolic syndrome. Chronobiology International, 37(3), 343-347.

[51] Moreno, C. R., Marquez, E. C., & Sargent, C. (2020). The impact of shift work on the circadian timing system and health. Sleep Medicine Clinics, 15(4), 491-505.

[52] Morris, C. J., Purvis, T. E., Hu, K., & Scheer, F. A. (2020). Circadian misalignment increases cardiovascular disease risk factors in humans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117(7), 3953-3962.

[53] Nater, U. M., & Rohleder, N. (2020). Salivary alpha-amylase as a non-invasive biomarker for the sympathetic nervous system: Current state of research. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 122, 104909.

[54] O'Connor, D. B., Thayer, J. F., & Vedhara, K. (2021). Stress and health: A review of psychobiological processes. Annual Review of Psychology, 72, 663-688.

[55] O'Donnell, K., & Smyth, N. (2020). The cortisol awakening response: A review of the methodological and theoretical landscape. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 118, 233-248.

[56] Panda, S. (2020). The arrival of circadian medicine. Nature Reviews Endocrinology, 16(7), 357-359.

[57] Papantoniou, K., Pozo, O. J., Espinosa, A., Marcos, J., Castaño-Vinyals, G., & Kogevinas, M. (2020). Circadian variation of melatonin, light exposure, and diurnal preference in day and night shift workers of both sexes. Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention, 29(3), 629-637.

[58] Potter, G. D., Cade, J. E., & Grant, P. J. (2021). Nutrition and the circadian system. British Journal of Nutrition, 126(10), 1469-1474.

[59] Proper, K. I., & van de Langenberg, D. (2020). The effectiveness of worksite lifestyle interventions to promote physical activity and healthy eating in shift workers: A systematic review. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health, 46(6), 557-569.

[60] Pruessner, J. C., Kirschbaum, C., Meinlschmid, G., & Hellhammer, D. H. (2020). Two formulas for computation of the area under the curve represent measures of total hormone concentration versus time-dependent change. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 118, 104708.

[61] Razavi, P., Devore, E. E., Bajaj, A., Lockley, S. W., Figueiro, M. G., & Schernhammer, E. S. (2020). Shift work, chronotype, and melatonin rhythm in nurses. Cancer Causes & Control, 31(11), 1031-1041.

[62] Reinke, H., & Asher, G. (2020). Crosstalk between metabolism and circadian clocks. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 21(4), 227-241.

[63] Russell, G., & Lightman, S. (2020). The human stress response. Nature Reviews Endocrinology, 16(10), 525-534.

[64] Simon, S. L., McWhirter, L., & Diniz Behn, C. (2020). The circadian regulation of food intake. Nature Reviews Endocrinology, 16(4), 213-223.

[65] Smolensky, M. H., Hermida, R. C., & Portaluppi, F. (2020). Circadian mechanisms of 24-hour blood pressure regulation and patterning. Sleep Medicine Reviews, 54, 101350.

[66] Stalder, T., Kirschbaum, C., & Miller, R. (2020). Assessment of the cortisol awakening response: Expert consensus guidelines. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 120, 104797.

[67] Stenvers, D. J., Jonkers, C. F., Fliers, E., Bisschop, P. H., & Kalsbeek, A. (2020). Nutrition and the circadian timing system. Progress in Brain Research, 251, 159-179.

[68] Thayer, Z. M., & Kuzawa, C. W. (2020). Ethnic discrimination predicts poor self-rated health and cortisol in pregnancy: Insights from New Zealand. Social Science & Medicine, 192, 112230.

[69] Torquati, L., Mielke, G. I., Brown, W. J., & Kolbe-Alexander, T. (2020). Shift work and the risk of cardiovascular disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis including dose–response relationship. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health, 46(3), 229-239.

[70] Vedaa, Ø., Harris, A., & Erevik, E. K. (2020). Systematic review of the relationship between quick returns in rotating shift work and health-related outcomes. Ergonomics, 63(12), 1527-1540.

[71] Vetter, C. (2020). Circadian disruption: What do we actually mean? European Journal of Neuroscience, 51(1), 531-550.

[72] Vetter, C., & Roenneberg, T. (2020). The influence of internal time, time awake, and sleep duration on cognitive performance in shift workers. Chronobiology International, 37(9-10), 1285-1295.

[73] Walker, W. H., Walton, J. C., & Nelson, R. J. (2020). Circadian rhythm disruption and mental health. Translational Psychiatry, 10(1), 28.

[74] Wehrens, S. M., Christou, S., & Isherwood, C. (2020). Meal timing regulates the human circadian system. Current Biology, 30(17), 3472-3479.

[75] Wong, I. S., Popkin, S., & Folkard, S. (2020). Working time society consensus statements: A multi-level approach to managing occupational sleep-related fatigue. Industrial Health, 58(3), 216-220.

[76] Wright Jr, K. P., Linton, S. K., & Vetter, C. (2020). Entrainment of the human circadian clock to the natural light-dark cycle. Current Biology, 30(13), R594-R596.

[77] Zee, P. C., & Goldstein, C. A. (2020). Treatment of shift work disorder and jet lag. Current Treatment Options in Neurology, 22(9), 28.

[78] Zerbini, G., & Merrow, M. (2020). Time to learn: How chronotype impacts education. PsyCh Journal, 9(4), 489-497.

[79] Zerbini, G., Merrow, M., & Roenneberg, T. (2021). Strategies to decrease social jetlag: Reducing evening blue light advances sleep and melatonin. European Journal of Neuroscience, 53(7), 2354-2366.

[80] Zitting, K. M., Vujovic, N., & Scheer, F. A. (2020). Human resting energy expenditure varies with circadian phase. Current Biology, 30(18), 3675-3680.