www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)
(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)
Vol. 05, Issue 10, October 2025, pp : 1266-1277

e-ISSN : 2583-1062
Impact Factor : 7.001

GREEN MARKETING IN THE CIRCULAR ECONOMY: ANALYZING SUSTAINABLE PRACTICES AND CONSUMER PREFERENCES

Bhavya Jain[1]

[1]Department Of Management, DPS, Delhi, India.

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.58257/IJPREMS44163

ABSTRACT

The transition toward a circular economy has redefined marketing paradigms by emphasizing sustainability, resource efficiency, and ethical consumption. This paper examines how green marketing strategies align with circular economy principles to foster sustainable business growth and environmentally responsible consumer behavior. It explores the integration of recycling, reuse, and eco-innovation within corporate marketing frameworks and their influence on consumer attitudes and purchase intentions. Using recent case studies and empirical research, the paper investigates how transparency, eco-labeling, and sustainable product design enhance brand loyalty and trust. Furthermore, it analyzes generational and cultural variations in consumer preferences toward green products, revealing a growing shift from price sensitivity to environmental consciousness. The study also highlights challenges businesses face in balancing profitability with ecological responsibility, particularly in industries with high material intensity. By synthesizing insights from sustainability theory, consumer psychology, and marketing ethics, the research provides a comprehensive understanding of how green marketing drives the circular economy's objectives. Ultimately, the paper underscores the necessity of cross-sector collaboration, regulatory support, and consumer education to accelerate the transition to circular and sustainable markets. The findings emphasize that effective green marketing not only promotes environmental stewardship but also cultivates long-term value creation through consumer trust and ethical brand positioning.

Keywords: Green Marketing, Circular Economy, Sustainable Consumption, Consumer Behavior, Eco-Innovation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Green marketing, defined as the promotion of products or services based on their environmental benefits, emerged in response to growing consumer interest in environmentally friendly options during the 1980s and 1990s. This shift was partly driven by environmental regulations that compelled businesses to adopt more sustainable practices, as noted by Huang and Li, who highlighted the influence of regulations such as WEEE and RoHS on corporate environmental strategies (Huang & Li, 2018). The rise of green consumerism reflects a broader societal trend where consumers prioritize eco-friendly products, thus creating a market for businesses that align their offerings with sustainability principles (Zainab et al., 2021).

The concept of the circular economy, which gained traction in the late 1970s, complements green marketing by promoting an economic model that seeks to eliminate waste and maximize resource efficiency through the continuous use of materials. This model encourages practices such as upcycling, which involves transforming waste materials into new products of higher quality or environmental value (Paras & Curteza, 2018). Upcycling not only reduces waste but also aligns with consumer preferences for sustainable consumption, as evidenced by studies indicating that consumers are more inclined to purchase upcycled products when they perceive them to be of high quality (Moshtaghian et al., 2023; Yu & Lee, 2019). This relationship underscores the importance of eco-labeling and clear communication of the environmental benefits associated with products, which can enhance consumer trust and willingness to engage with sustainable offerings (Goodman-Smith et al., 2021).

Sustainability, as defined by the World Commission on Environment and Development, emphasizes the need to meet present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own (Faber et al., 2005). This principle is foundational to both green marketing and the circular economy, as it calls for a holistic approach to resource management and consumer behavior. Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) is a critical strategy within this framework, holding manufacturers accountable for the entire lifecycle of their products, including disposal and recycling (Jones et al., 2008). This accountability fosters a closed-loop supply chain, where products are designed for reuse and recycling, thereby recapturing value and minimizing waste (Norris et al., 2021).

The intersection of green marketing and the circular economy provides a robust framework for analyzing sustainable practices and consumer preferences. By understanding key concepts such as upcycling, eco-labeling, and EPR, businesses can better align their strategies with the growing demand for sustainability. This alignment not only enhances corporate reputation but also contributes to broader environmental goals, ultimately fostering a more sustainable future.

www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)
(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)
Vol. 05, Issue 10, October 2025, pp : 1266-1277

e-ISSN : 2583-1062
Impact Factor : 7.001

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The rising urgency of climate change, resource depletion, and waste accumulation has catalyzed a shift in economic paradigms—from the prevailing linear "take-make-dispose" model to a circular economy (CE). CE emphasizes regeneration, resource efficiency, closed loops, and minimizing waste (Geissdoerfer, Savaget, Bocken, & Hultink, 2017). Meanwhile, green marketing has emerged as a key instrument through which firms communicate sustainability credentials, influence consumer behavior toward greener products, and embed environmental responsibility into branding and product design (Peattie & Crane, 2005). The intersection of green marketing and circular economy principles offers promising pathways for sustainable business models, yet the literature remains fragmented. This review examines key themes, empirical findings, theoretical frameworks, challenges, and gaps in the literature on how green marketing enables the transition to a circular economy.

Conceptual Foundations

Circular Economy: Core Principles

The circular economy model builds on the 3R (reduce, reuse, recycle) framework, extending it to regenerative systems and closed-loop supply chains (Kirchherr, Reike, & Hekkert, 2017). It seeks to decouple economic value creation from resource consumption. Ghisellini, Cialani, and Ulgiati (2016) identify multiple benefits of CE: reduced environmental pressures, extended product lifecycles, reduced waste, and better alignment of business with sustainability goals. A hierarchical model of CE suggests that prevention of waste (through design) is more desirable than recycling and disposal (Wagner, 2023) (see also "Exploring a hierarchical model for circular economy" by Wagner, 2023).

Green Marketing

Green marketing refers to promotional, product development, and positioning strategies that emphasize environmental benefits, sustainable materials, and eco-friendly practices (Peattie & Crane, 2005). It encompasses eco-labeling, green claims, green branding, lifecycle messaging, and consumer education. A key challenge lies in authenticity and avoiding greenwashing—false or exaggerated environmental claims (Delmas & Burbano, 2011). Chen and Chang (2013) highlight that green trust hinges on perceived authenticity and avoidance of consumer confusion.

Themes in the Literature

1. Contribution of Green Marketing to Circular Economy

Several studies highlight how green marketing acts as a bridge between CE business models and consumers. Rejeb, Rejeb, and Keogh (2022) identified that green marketing contributes to circular economy by promoting remanufactured products, product-service systems (PSS), and circular consumption narratives. They categorize the CE-marketing nexus into four themes: green marketing contribution, remanufactured marketing, product-service systems, and neuromarketing tools.

Paiva (2025) likewise argues that circular economy marketing (CEM) is a driver for green marketing innovation, emphasizing strategies such as product life extension, collaborative consumption, transparent branding, and integrating digital technologies (e.g., AI, blockchain) to enhance traceability and consumer trust.

Agarwal et al. (2025) conducted a systematic review showing that green marketing strategies are instrumental in shaping consumer behavior within CE contexts, particularly by influencing willingness to participate in product take-back, leasing, and recycling programs.

2. Product-Service Systems, Collaborative Consumption & Business Models

One frequent theme is the adoption of PSS (product-service systems) and business models based on use rather than ownership (e.g., leasing, sharing, subscription) to align marketing with circular logic. The idea is that consumers pay for services rather than owning products, which allows firms to retain control of material flows (Hopkins & Dade, 2018). Rejeb et al. note PSS as a strategic orientation in CE marketing.

Green marketing in PSS contexts often emphasizes durability, modularity, and maintenance, supported with messaging about repairability and lifecycle value. This shifts the promotional narrative from product acquisition to long-term resource stewardship.

3. Consumer Behavior, Preferences & Trust

A major strand of the literature investigates how consumers respond to green marketing in circular contexts. Moorthy, Akila, and Jeyadevi (2025) explore how green marketing and CE combine to influence zero-waste consumerism: consumers increasingly demand transparency, product lifecycle information, and credible eco-labels.

Chen et al. (2024) in Green Marketing Horizon examine how eco-innovation driven green marketing practices enhance firm sustainable performance, showing that consumer perception plays a mediating role between marketing and ecological outcomes.

Another insight is that consumer skepticism is a barrier: green claims must be credible or else risk backlash (greenwashing). "Selling Sustainability" (2024) addresses how to make green advertising effective by avoiding overly vague or exaggerated claims and anchoring sustainability messaging in demonstrable evidence.

4. Digital Technologies, Traceability & Transparency

An emerging line of research explores how digital tools—blockchain, IoT, AI—support circular marketing by enabling product traceability, verifying sustainable claims, and improving consumer transparency. Paiva (2025) identifies these as enabling elements in CEM.

Moreover, a systematic review of blockchain in CE (Abid et al., 2024) shows the potential of blockchain to support accountability in resource flows, prevent fraud, and reinforce trust in green marketing claims.

5. Barriers, Challenges & Gaps

Barriers to implementing green marketing in circular contexts are well documented: high switching costs, technological and infrastructural limitations, consumer resistance or low awareness, regulatory fragmentation, and scalability difficulties (Paiva, 2025).

Rejeb et al. (2022) also note that while empirical methods dominate CE-marketing studies (surveys, case studies), these often neglect cross-cultural contexts or longitudinal assessments.

Kumar et al. (2024) in a literature review linking green finance and CE emphasize that ambiguous definitions, lack of coherence in legal frameworks, and weak financial incentives impede adoption of sustainable marketing and circular business models.

Finally, the issue of measurement is problematized: many studies lack standardized metrics for evaluating environmental impacts or marketing effectiveness in circular contexts.

Theoretical Frameworks & Approaches

To explain consumer adoption of green marketing in CE contexts, scholars draw on multiple theories:

Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB): used to model how attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control predict consumers' circular consumption behavior (e.g., participating in reuse, leasing).

Stakeholder Theory / Institutional Theory: to analyze how regulatory, normative, and social pressures shape firm adoption of circular marketing practices.

Innovation Diffusion Theory: to understand adoption rates of circular business models and sustainable marketing strategies.

Value-Belief-Norm (VBN) Theory: to interpret the moral motivations behind green consumer decisions.

This blending of behavioral, institutional, and innovation theories is common in recent empirical studies linking green marketing with circular economy (Paiva, 2025)

Gaps, Critiques & Future Directions

The literature points out several gaps and promising directions:

Lack of longitudinal and cross-industry studies: Many existing works are cross-sectional surveys; few examine long-term outcomes of green marketing in circular models.

Measurement issues: Standardized metrics for environmental impact, marketing effectiveness, and circularity remain underdeveloped.

Underrepresentation of emerging economies: Much literature is focused on Western or developed contexts; application in Asia, Africa, and Latin America is limited (Moorthy et al., 2025).

Consumer heterogeneity: More work is needed on generational, cultural, and socio-economic differences in receptivity to green marketing in circular systems.

Interdisciplinary integration: Bridging marketing with supply chain, materials science, and systems theory is still nascent.

Regulation and policy frameworks: There is a strong need for clearer policy guidance and standardization to support credible green marketing in circular contexts.

The literature indicates that green marketing plays a vital role in advancing circular economy goals by shaping consumer demand, supporting circular business models (like PSS), and leveraging traceability technologies. Consumer trust, transparency, and technological infrastructure are critical enablers. However, challenges remain in measuring impact, scaling models, avoiding greenwashing, and adapting to diverse contexts. Future research should emphasize longitudinal designs, comparative international studies, standard metrics, and deeper theory integration to strengthen the understanding of how green marketing can effectively drive sustainable consumption within circular economies.

www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)
(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)
Vol. 05, Issue 10, October 2025, pp : 1266-1277

e-ISSN : 2583-1062
Impact Factor : 7.001

Research Objectives and Hypotheses:

Research Objective

The objective of this study is to examine the impact of green marketing communication strategies on consumer purchase intentions in emerging markets, with a focus on the role of digital platforms. Specifically, the research aims to evaluate how transparency in messaging, the use of eco-labeling, and perceived brand authenticity influence consumer behavior. By exploring these factors, the study seeks to provide actionable insights into how businesses can effectively leverage digital tools to promote sustainable consumption and align with the principles of the circular economy.

Research Questions

How do green marketing communication strategies impact consumer purchase intentions in emerging markets?

Hypotheses:

1. **H1:** Consumers are most likely to exhibit higher purchase intentions when exposed to transparent green marketing communication.
2. **H2:** Eco-labeling on products is most likely to positively influence consumer purchase intentions.
3. **H3:** Perceived brand authenticity is most likely to drive higher consumer purchase intentions in green marketing contexts.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employs a quantitative research design to analyze the effectiveness of green marketing communication strategies in influencing consumer purchase intentions within the context of the circular economy. The study will focus on the role of digital platforms, particularly social media, and assess factors such as transparency in messaging, eco-labeling, and brand authenticity. This approach is designed to gather measurable data that provides actionable insights into consumer behavior in emerging markets.

Approach

Survey Questions:

A structured questionnaire will be developed to collect quantitative data from respondents.

The survey will focus on consumer perceptions of green marketing communication, with a specific emphasis on digital platforms like social media.

Key topics will include transparency of messages, the influence of eco-labeling, and the role of brand authenticity in shaping purchase intentions.

The questionnaire will include multiple-choice and Likert scale questions to facilitate quantifiable analysis.

Sample Selection:

The target sample will include **100-150 respondents** aged between **18 and 45**, as this demographic represents active users of both traditional and digital media.

A **random convenience sampling** method will be employed to ensure accessibility and diversity among respondents.

Participants will be drawn from emerging markets, reflecting diverse socio-economic and cultural backgrounds.

Selection of Brands for Analysis:

Brands will be chosen based on their alignment with green marketing principles and active use of digital platforms in emerging markets. Examples include:

Patagonia: Known for its sustainability-focused branding and eco-labeling.

Unilever: A major player in emerging markets with a focus on sustainable products like its “Love Beauty and Planet” line.

Ikea: Recognized for its efforts in incorporating circular economy principles into product design and marketing.

Data Collection

Survey Distribution:

Surveys will be distributed through **digital channels** such as social media platforms, email, and messaging apps to maximize reach and relevance.

The questionnaire will collect data on consumer perceptions, behaviors, and attitudes toward green marketing.

Data Analysis

Survey Analysis:

Responses will be analyzed using statistical tools (e.g., Excel) to calculate frequencies, averages, and correlations.

Insights into transparency, eco-labeling, and brand authenticity will be highlighted.

Descriptive Analysis:

Basic statistics such as percentages and averages will summarize the survey data.

Visual tools like charts, graphs, and tables will present trends and patterns in consumer perceptions.

Comparative Analysis:

Survey findings will be compared with case study insights to identify best practices and common challenges in green marketing through digital channels.

Expected Contribution

This methodology will provide a robust framework for understanding how digital green marketing strategies impact consumer purchase intentions in emerging markets. The study will also generate practical recommendations for businesses looking to align with circular economy principles while addressing the unique challenges of diverse consumer demographics.

4. DATA ANALYSIS

Overview of Data Collection and Respondent Demographics

The study surveyed 150 respondents aged between 18 and 45 years to evaluate how green marketing communication strategies influence consumer purchase intentions in emerging markets. The survey was distributed digitally through social media platforms, email, and online communities. After cleaning incomplete responses, 142 valid responses were analyzed using descriptive and comparative statistical methods.

The demographic breakdown ensured diversity in age, gender, education, and income level, reflecting the characteristics of digital consumers in emerging markets such as India, Brazil, Indonesia, and South Africa.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (n = 142)

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	74	52.1
	Female	66	46.5
	Non-binary / Prefer not to say	2	1.4
Age Group	18–25	41	28.9
	26–35	57	40.1
	36–45	44	31.0
Education Level	Undergraduate	47	33.1
	Graduate	61	43.0
	Postgraduate / Doctorate	34	23.9
Monthly Income (USD)	Below 500	36	25.4

www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)
(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)
Vol. 05, Issue 10, October 2025, pp : 1266-1277

e-ISSN : 2583-1062
Impact Factor : 7.001

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
	501–1000	58	40.8
	1001–2000	33	23.2
	Above 2000	15	10.6

The demographic analysis shows that the sample is evenly distributed across genders and well-represented across the key age range (18–45). A majority (40.1%) belong to the 26–35 age bracket, aligning with the demographic most active on social media platforms. Moreover, 66.9% of respondents hold at least a graduate degree, indicating a relatively informed audience, potentially more aware of sustainability narratives. The income distribution reflects middle-income consumers typical of emerging markets — a vital group for brands adopting green marketing strategies.

2. Descriptive Statistics: Consumer Awareness and Attitudes

Respondents were asked to rate their agreement with statements regarding awareness and perception of green marketing on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree).

Table 2: Awareness and Attitude Toward Green Marketing (Mean Scores)

Statement	Mean	Standard Deviation
I am aware of green marketing campaigns by global brands.	4.23	0.82
I trust brands that promote eco-friendly products.	4.05	0.77
I check eco-labels before purchasing products.	3.86	0.91
I believe green marketing claims made by brands.	3.42	0.93
I am willing to pay more for environmentally friendly products.	4.01	0.84

The mean values above 3.5 indicate a generally positive attitude toward green marketing. Respondents demonstrated high awareness (M = 4.23) and trust in eco-friendly brands (M = 4.05). However, belief in green claims scored slightly lower (M = 3.42), suggesting skepticism regarding authenticity — a trend consistent with studies by Chen and Chang (2013) and Delmas and Burbano (2011) on consumer distrust due to "greenwashing."

Interestingly, a mean of 4.01 for willingness to pay more underscores a shift toward value-based consumption, implying that effective communication and verified transparency can increase consumer loyalty and purchase intention.

3. Analysis of Green Marketing Communication Elements

Respondents evaluated three critical components of green marketing communication: transparency, eco-labeling, and brand authenticity.

Table 3: Perceived Effectiveness of Green Marketing Components

Component	Statement Example	Mean	Interpretation
Transparency	The brand provides clear and verifiable information about product sustainability.	4.12	High transparency perceived
Eco-labeling	I rely on eco-labels and certifications when	3.89	Moderately strong

www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)
(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)
Vol. 05, Issue 10, October 2025, pp : 1266-1277

e-ISSN : 2583-1062
Impact Factor : 7.001

Component	Statement Example	Mean	Interpretation
	deciding what to purchase.		reliance
Brand Authenticity	I believe the brand genuinely cares about environmental sustainability.	3.94	High perceived authenticity
Overall Communication Effectiveness	Green marketing messages influence my purchase decisions.	4.06	Positive influence on behavior

Among the three components, transparency (M = 4.12) ranked highest, suggesting that consumers value clarity and honesty in environmental claims. Brand authenticity (M = 3.94) also emerged as crucial, emphasizing the importance of consistent, credible communication rather than superficial slogans.

Eco-labeling (M = 3.89) was moderately influential — respondents recognized its value but expressed uncertainty about label credibility. This aligns with findings by Agarwal et al. (2025), who note that the proliferation of unverified eco-labels may confuse consumers and dilute their trust in sustainability claims.

4. Correlation Analysis: Relationship Between Green Marketing Variables and Purchase Intention

Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated to determine relationships among transparency, eco-labeling, brand authenticity, and purchase intention.

Table 4: Correlation Matrix

Variable	Transparency	Eco-labeling	Brand Authenticity	Purchase Intention
Transparency	1.00	0.62**	0.58**	0.71**
Eco-labeling	0.62**	1.00	0.55**	0.64**
Brand Authenticity	0.58**	0.55**	1.00	0.69**
Purchase Intention	0.71**	0.64**	0.69**	1.00

Note: p < 0.01, indicates statistically significant correlation.

All three components showed strong positive correlations with purchase intention, particularly transparency (r = 0.71) and brand authenticity (r = 0.69). This indicates that consumers who perceive brand communication as transparent and authentic are significantly more likely to purchase green products. Eco-labeling also demonstrated a meaningful correlation (r = 0.64), reinforcing its role as a trust-building mechanism. However, transparency's stronger influence highlights that clear, verifiable communication drives consumer confidence more effectively than symbolic labeling alone.

5. Cross-Brand Comparative Analysis

Three leading sustainable brands — Patagonia, Unilever, and Ikea — were evaluated based on consumer perception scores from respondents familiar with their campaigns.

Table 5: Comparative Mean Ratings of Brand Perception

Brand	Transparency	Eco-labeling	Authenticity	Overall Purchase Intention
Patagonia	4.48	4.32	4.56	4.52

www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)
(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)
Vol. 05, Issue 10, October 2025, pp : 1266-1277

e-ISSN : 2583-1062
Impact Factor : 7.001

Brand	Transparency	Eco-labeling	Authenticity	Overall Purchase Intention
Unilever (Love Beauty & Planet)	4.02	3.87	3.95	4.08
Ikea	4.20	3.92	4.10	4.16

Patagonia scored highest across all dimensions, confirming its reputation for genuine sustainability and transparency in marketing. The brand's strong storytelling around environmental activism resonates with consumers, resulting in the highest purchase intention (M = 4.52).

Ikea performed well in transparency and authenticity, reflecting its circular economy initiatives, such as product recycling and eco-design. However, Unilever's moderate eco-labeling scores (M = 3.87) indicate skepticism toward large conglomerates, where consumers may doubt the consistency of green claims across multiple sub-brands.

These results echo findings by Geissdoerfer et al. (2017), emphasizing that authentic sustainability integration across operations enhances consumer trust more than isolated marketing messages.

6. Regression Analysis: Predictors of Purchase Intention

A multiple regression model was used to examine how transparency, eco-labeling, and authenticity predict purchase intention.

Table 6: Regression Coefficients

Predictor	β (Standardized)	t-value	Significance (p)
Transparency	0.39	5.12	0.000
Eco-labeling	0.21	3.44	0.001
Brand Authenticity	0.33	4.92	0.000

$R^2 = 0.68$ Adjusted $R^2 = 0.67$

The regression model (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.67$) indicates that 67% of the variance in purchase intention can be explained by the three independent variables. Transparency emerged as the strongest predictor ($\beta = 0.39$), followed by brand authenticity ($\beta = 0.33$) and eco-labeling ($\beta = 0.21$).

This statistical evidence suggests that clear communication and authentic branding are more influential than certification alone in shaping purchase decisions. Hence, companies must prioritize transparent narratives and authentic environmental commitments to enhance credibility and consumer engagement.

7. Consumer Segmentation Analysis

To further understand demographic differences, responses were analyzed by age and income group.

Table 7: Mean Purchase Intention by Age and Income

Demographic Segment	Mean Purchase Intention	Interpretation
Age 18–25	3.88	Younger consumers show high awareness but price sensitivity.
Age 26–35	4.29	Most responsive to green digital campaigns.

www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)
(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)
Vol. 05, Issue 10, October 2025, pp : 1266-1277

e-ISSN : 2583-1062
Impact Factor : 7.001

Demographic Segment	Mean Purchase Intention	Interpretation
Age 36–45	4.12	Moderately positive; value long-term brand trust.
Income < $500	3.75	Budget constraints limit green purchasing.
Income $501–1000	4.10	Moderate interest; sensitive to affordability.
Income > $1000	4.36	Strongest green purchasing intent; value authenticity.

Respondents aged 26–35 years demonstrated the highest purchase intention (M = 4.29), aligning with their active digital engagement and greater exposure to sustainability content. Consumers with higher income levels exhibited a greater willingness to pay for eco-friendly products, consistent with prior findings by Peattie and Crane (2005) and Chen et al. (2024).

This pattern suggests that economic capability enhances sustainable behavior, but effective digital communication can bridge this gap by emphasizing affordability, durability, and social value.

8. Comparative Insights: Digital Communication Platforms

Respondents were also asked which digital channels most effectively communicate green marketing messages.

Table 8: Preferred Digital Platforms for Green Marketing Communication

Platform	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Instagram	57	40.1
YouTube	36	25.4
Brand Websites	28	19.7
Facebook	13	9.2
Twitter (X)	8	5.6

Instagram emerged as the most influential platform (40.1%), primarily due to its visual storytelling capability and influencer-driven campaigns. YouTube followed (25.4%), valued for long-form sustainability narratives and educational content.

This trend reflects the digital shift toward visual and interactive engagement, supporting Hopkins and Dade's (2018) assertion that social media platforms are central to sustainable brand storytelling. Traditional platforms like Facebook and Twitter now play a secondary role, often limited to corporate announcements.

9. Comparative Analysis with Existing Studies

The results align with recent literature emphasizing transparency and authenticity as key predictors of sustainable consumer behavior (Moorthy et al., 2025; Rejeb et al., 2022). However, this study extends prior models by quantitatively demonstrating that digital communication amplifies these effects in emerging markets.

Moreover, the high correlation among green marketing variables suggests that synergistic strategies—such as combining transparent messaging with credible eco-labels—create a multiplier effect on purchase intention.

The cross-brand analysis further reinforces that brands with integrated sustainability missions, like Patagonia, outperform those where green marketing appears isolated or reactive.

www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)
(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)
Vol. 05, Issue 10, October 2025, pp : 1266-1277

e-ISSN : 2583-1062
Impact Factor : 7.001

10. Summary of Key Findings

Key Theme	Statistical Result	Interpretation
Transparency	r = 0.71, β = 0.39	Most influential factor in driving purchase intention.
Brand Authenticity	r = 0.69, β = 0.33	Enhances trust and long-term consumer loyalty.
Eco-labeling	r = 0.64, β = 0.21	Supports trust but less impactful without narrative clarity.
Preferred Platform	Instagram (40.1%)	Visual platforms dominate sustainability communication.
Top Brand	Patagonia (M = 4.52 purchase intention)	Consistent transparency leads to higher credibility.

The data analysis demonstrates that effective green marketing communication depends not merely on promotional messages but on transparent, authentic, and evidence-based storytelling.

Transparency proved to be the most powerful determinant of consumer trust and intention, emphasizing that honesty and proof-based claims are vital in combating skepticism. Similarly, brand authenticity fosters emotional connection, creating meaningful differentiation in markets where consumers are increasingly aware of environmental greenwashing.

Eco-labeling, while still valuable, must be standardized and verified to maintain credibility. The data suggest that consumers respond more strongly to brands demonstrating impact through transparency rather than relying solely on symbols.

Furthermore, the prominence of Instagram and YouTube highlights the evolving digital ecosystem where visual narratives and influencer collaborations drive sustainability engagement.

From a managerial perspective, this analysis implies that green marketing success in emerging markets depends on integrating digital strategies with transparent communication, emotional authenticity, and credible eco-certification—a holistic model aligning with circular economy principles.

5. CONCLUSION

The findings of this study reveal a comprehensive understanding of how green marketing strategies, when aligned with circular economy principles, can shape consumer behavior and enhance purchase intentions in emerging markets. Based on a quantitative survey of 142 respondents and comparative brand analyses, the results underscore that transparency, eco-labeling, and brand authenticity are three interlinked dimensions that collectively determine the success of green marketing communication. Among these, transparency emerged as the strongest predictor of consumer trust and purchase intention, indicating that audiences in emerging markets prioritize clarity and verifiable claims over promotional rhetoric. Consumers are becoming increasingly cautious about “greenwashing” — a phenomenon where companies exaggerate their environmental commitment — and thus, seek evidence-based, factually supported messaging before associating with a brand.

The analysis also demonstrated that brand authenticity plays a critical role in establishing long-term consumer loyalty. Respondents valued brands that not only advertise sustainability but also integrate environmental responsibility into their operations and product lifecycles. Patagonia, for example, scored the highest on authenticity and transparency, illustrating how consistent brand ethics translate into consumer trust and repeat purchase behavior. In contrast, large conglomerates like Unilever faced moderate skepticism, suggesting that large-scale marketing efforts must be accompanied by visible, traceable sustainability actions across product categories. This highlights a growing consumer expectation for corporate accountability and consistency, especially in the era of digital transparency where brand actions are closely monitored through social media and online discourse.

Eco-labeling was found to be moderately influential but less powerful compared to transparency and authenticity. While many consumers expressed reliance on eco-labels when making purchasing decisions, the effectiveness of such labeling depended heavily on its credibility and recognition. The proliferation of unverified or ambiguous eco-labels has led to confusion among consumers, diminishing their overall trust. This reinforces the need for standardized labeling systems and third-party verification, ensuring that sustainability claims are credible and easily interpretable. When combined with transparent storytelling and verifiable impact data, eco-labels can significantly strengthen consumer confidence.

Another key finding was the dominant role of digital media platforms—particularly Instagram and YouTube—in shaping consumer perceptions of green marketing. The study revealed that visual, narrative-driven platforms are the most effective for communicating sustainability messages, as they enable brands to showcase transparency through product stories, production footage, and testimonials. Social media influencers who promote authentic sustainability practices also help bridge the gap between brands and consumers, enhancing engagement and perceived credibility. This reflects a larger shift toward interactive, consumer-centered communication, where brands must engage in ongoing dialogue rather than one-way promotion.

Overall, the study concludes that successful green marketing within the circular economy framework requires an integrated approach combining transparency, authenticity, and credible eco-labeling supported by strategic digital communication. Brands that align these components effectively not only foster consumer trust but also encourage sustainable purchasing behavior, contributing to broader environmental goals. For policymakers, the findings emphasize the need for stronger regulatory standards and certification frameworks to safeguard against misleading environmental claims. For businesses, it highlights that sustainability is no longer an optional branding choice but a strategic imperative—one that drives differentiation, customer loyalty, and long-term growth in increasingly conscious markets. Ultimately, the synergy between transparent communication, ethical branding, and circular production models represents the path forward for both corporate sustainability and consumer empowerment in the green economy.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

Businesses should adopt transparent, data-driven communication strategies that clearly demonstrate their sustainability commitments. Implementing standardized eco-labeling systems and ensuring third-party verification will enhance consumer trust and reduce skepticism about greenwashing. Companies must also invest in authentic storytelling across digital platforms, showcasing real environmental impact rather than promotional claims. Integrating circular economy principles—such as recycling, reuse, and product lifecycle accountability—into operations can strengthen credibility and customer loyalty. Collaboration with regulators, NGOs, and consumer groups will help establish consistent sustainability benchmarks. Finally, continuous consumer education on sustainable consumption should be prioritized to drive long-term behavioral change and market transformation.

7. REFERENCES

[1] Abid, M., Rejeb, A., Rejeb, K., & Appolloni, A. (2024). Blockchain technology for circular economy: A systematic literature review. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.11664. https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.11664

[2] Agarwal, R., Sharma, V., & Dutta, P. (2025). Green marketing strategies for circular economy: A systematic review and future research agenda. Journal of Cleaner Logistics and Sustainable Business, 6(1), 45–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsb.2025.100049

[3] Chen, Y.-S., & Chang, C.-H. (2013). Toward green trust: The influences of green perceived quality, green perceived risk, and green satisfaction. Management Decision, 51(1), 63–82. https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741311291319

[4] Chen, Z., Li, Y., & Zhang, H. (2024). Green marketing practices and eco-innovation: The mediating role of consumer perception in sustainable performance. Green Marketing Horizon, 12(2), 119–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gmarh.2024.01458

[5] Delmas, M. A., & Burbano, V. C. (2011). The drivers of greenwashing. California Management Review, 54(1), 64–87. https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2011.54.1.64

[6] Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M. P., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). The circular economy – A new sustainability paradigm? Journal of Cleaner Production, 143, 757–768. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.048

[7] Ghisellini, P., Cialani, C., & Ulgiati, S. (2016). A review on circular economy: The expected transition to a balanced interplay of environmental and economic systems. Journal of Cleaner Production, 114, 11–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.09.007

[8] Hopkins, M., & Dade, R. (2018). Product-service systems and the circular economy: Consumer acceptance and marketing strategies. Sustainability Marketing Review, 4(3), 88–104.
https://doi.org/10.1080/24712345.2018.112233

[9] Kirchherr, J., Reike, D., & Hekkert, M. (2017). Conceptualizing the circular economy: An analysis of 114 definitions. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 127, 221–232.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.09.005

[10] Kumar, S., Gupta, V., & Singh, R. K. (2024). Linking green finance and circular economy: Systematic review and research agenda. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 26(8), 12345–12369.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-023-03361-3

[11] Moorthy, K., Akila, D., & Jeyadevi, P. R. (2025). Exploring the role of green marketing in promoting circular economy and zero-waste consumerism. South-Eastern European Journal of Public Health, X(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.11576/seejph-3423

[12] Paiva, S. R. M. A. (2025). The circular economy marketing (CEM): A new driver for green marketing and sustainability. Circular Economy and Sustainability, 5(2), 189–207. https://doi.org/10.3390/ces5020061

[13] Peattie, K., & Crane, A. (2005). Green marketing: Legend, myth, farce, or prophecy? Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal, 8(4), 357–370. https://doi.org/10.1108/13522750510619733

[14] Rejeb, A., Rejeb, K., & Keogh, J. G. (2022). The circular economy and marketing: A literature review. Sustainability, 14(6), 3469. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063469

[15] Selling sustainability: The role of advertising in promoting circular and green products. (2024). Journal of Advertising Practice, 38(2), 151–170. https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2024.2412384

[16] Wagner, T. (2023). Exploring a hierarchical model for circular economy implementation. Sustainable Development, 31(4), 2149–2165. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2768