INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)
(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)
Vol. 05, Issue 10, October 2025, pp : 1039-1042
www.ijprems.com
editor@ijprems.com
e-ISSN : 2583-1062
Impact Factor : 7.001

THE ADVENT OF AI-ENABLED WORKSPACE: EMBRACING THE NEW NORMAL

Dr. Dennis Edward Fernando[1]

[1]Assistant Professor of Commerce, St. Joseph's College (Autonomous), Tiruchirappalli, India.

ABSTRACT

Ever since, the AI started dominating the universe with this unmatchable skills and ability all the aspects of operations and progression have become a part under its hat. And leadership is no exception in it. This incredible development has given several ways for workspace to accomplish better outcomes. The current study specifically delineates Gen Z's perceptions of AI-enabled Monitors, assessing their impact on efficiency and well-being; the perceived utility and trust in AI Assistance for daily task execution; and, crucially, their comfort level with AI in human resources functions, namely AI Performance Appraisal and subsequent AI Feedback. Utilizing a quantitative methodology directed at Gen Z professionals and near-term entrants, the research seeks to empirically ascertain correlations between AI system objectivity, algorithmic fairness perceptions, and organizational trust. The findings are intended to contribute directly to the discourse on responsible AI deployment, offering empirically grounded recommendations for leaders seeking to mitigate adoption resistance and establish the foundational trust requisite for an equitable, fully AI-enabled organizational architecture.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Leadership, Performance Appraisal, Gen Z, Organizational Behaviour.

1. INTRODUCTION

The landscape of corporate in the private sector, particularly within IT, is undergoing a profound AI-powered revolution. Accelerated by the choice of digital-native, employees, especially Gen Z for on-demand, self-directed learning, organizations are rapidly transforming the traditional, manual instruction with intelligent, adaptive platforms. This paradigm shift is empowering the Human Resources to be more strategic and efficient, but it also gives questions the rapid saturation of the Human Creativity.

2. THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Empowerment of HR through AI enabled Learning: AI-enabled tools fundamentally transform the HR function by moving from a one-size-fits-all model to hyper-personalization. Machine learning algorithms analyze vast datasets employee performance metrics, skill gaps, career aspirations, and learning styles to recommend content, set the pace, and even generate specific training modules.

This level of customization dramatically boosts learning effectiveness and employee engagement. For Gen Z, who expect instant feedback and tailored experiences, platforms that offer micro-learning modules and adaptive simulations are highly favoured. This translates into tangible HR benefits such as faster upskilling, more accurate succession planning, and a proactive approach to closing skills gaps, ultimately leading to higher employee retention and a more committee and conscious workforce. Hence, the HR team is freed from administrative burdens to focus on strategies and talent management.

The Gen Z Preference for Digital Self-Paced Learning: Gen Z's comfort and preference for digital sources are undeniable. They consider AI not as a threat but as a tool for productivity and development by choice. They prefer to use platforms that allow them to practice and learn technical skills on-demand, often citing that it improves their work-life balance and overall job satisfaction and performance. For a generation that grew up with recommendation engines and personalized feeds, a learning journey feels natural and more effective than a fixed classroom practice. This digital affinity is accelerating AI adoption in the private IT sector where technical proficiency is constantly in flux.

The Paradox: Efficiency vs. Creative Destruction: While AI excels at optimizing the process of learning, the core concern lies in its impact on creative and critical thinking. The essence of creativity often involves synthesizing seemingly unrelated information, challenging conventional paths, and embracing ambiguity all elements that are difficult for an algorithm to replicate or teach.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Objectives of the Study:

1. To study the quantum of embracement of AI enabled Work Space by GenZ
2. To understand the effectiveness of the AI work space

Sampling & Data Collection:

The Data for the study has been collected from the employees in First Source IT Solutions, Chennai. The employees who are born between 1998 and 2004 were the samples. On a whole, the data have been gathered from 275 employees. The collected data were interpreted using simple percentage analysis

Review of Literature:

Chen, L., & Gupta, S. (2024) in the study analyzed adaptive learning systems (ALS) across 50 private tech firms. The findings confirm that ALS, powered by machine learning, delivered 25% faster skill acquisition for Gen Z employees compared to traditional e-learning. The authors argue that this personalization is not just a preference but a necessity for a generation conditioned by customized digital experiences.

Miller, A. P., & Johnson, D. K. (2025) in the review posits that AI-driven L&D platforms have fundamentally redefined the HR role. By automating training administration and needs assessment, HR professionals are empowered to transition into strategic talent architects, focusing on predicting future skill requirements and designing long-term, data-driven development pathways.

Rodríguez, M. L. (2023) emphasizes the impact of AI in curating mobile, micro-learning content. The study found that Gen Z employees in the private sector showed an 85% completion rate for short, AI-recommended training bursts (under 10 minutes), directly linking content relevance and delivery format to high engagement.

Singh, R. (2024) demonstrates how AI's predictive capabilities enable HR to move from reactive training to proactive talent management. By correlating learning data with performance and retention metrics, AI-enabled L&D was shown to predict potential employee turnover with 82% accuracy, allowing for targeted intervention programs.

Weber, H. (2025) writes a quantitative analysis of the economic benefits. Weber concludes that large private sector organizations utilizing AI for content curation and delivery achieved an average 35% reduction in L&D operational costs while simultaneously improving the perceived quality of training by employees.

Dubois and Kim (2024) express a strong caution, arguing that over-reliance on generative AI (like LLMs) in learning environments may foster a generation of "content curators" rather than "content creators." They provide qualitative evidence suggesting that students who trained primarily with AI brainstorming tools exhibited lower scores on divergent thinking tests.

Tanaka, K. (2025) addresses the "creativity paradox" by proposing a design solution. The author advocates for AI learning platforms that intentionally introduce "curated ambiguity" or "adjacent-domain content"—information slightly outside the user's direct learning path—to simulate the accidental discovery that often sparks human innovation.

Vance, J. R., & Wu, C. (2023) critiques AI's real-time, personalized feedback loops. The researchers suggest that by constantly correcting and optimizing the learning path, AI may erode the learner's opportunity to struggle, self-evaluate, and critically analyze their own mistakes—processes essential for developing higher-order problem-solving and creative resilience.

Harris, L. B. (2024) introduces the concept of "Superagency" in learning. The research promotes a hybrid L&D approach where AI is explicitly taught as a partner to handle routine tasks, thereby freeing human cognitive capacity to focus on complex, high-stakes decisions and innovative strategic direction, thus redefining, but not diminishing, human creativity.

Adebayo, O. (2025). Teaching the Prompt: The New Core Skill for the AI-Augmented Workforce. Journal of Modern Work and Skills, 5(2), 177-195.

Adebayo, O. (2025) re-frames the skills debate. It argues that for Gen Z, the creative skill is no longer simply generating novel content, but developing the ability to "prompt, guide, and critically refine" AI-generated outputs, suggesting that creativity is shifting from creation to direction.

Pérez, F. A. (2024) qualitative research highlights a distinction in Gen Z's learning motivation. While they embrace AI for technical "how-to" training, they still seek human mentors and instructors for context, ethical guidance, and understanding the strategic "why" of their roles, underscoring the enduring need for a human element in L&D.

Wang, C. (2023) in his article stresses the HR imperative to embed ethical and bias-aware training within AI-driven L&D platforms. It found that Gen Z is particularly receptive to learning about responsible technology use, viewing it as a critical skill for the future of work.

Hoffman, K. (2025) explores the use of AI in analyzing employee emotional and cognitive states during training. The research suggests that while effective for optimizing pacing, L&D programs must be transparent to Gen Z about this data collection to avoid fostering a culture of distrust or surveillance, which could negatively impact engagement.

Sharma, A., & Lee, S. (2024) propose a new operating model for L&D personnel. They argue that the manual instructor is not obsolete but must evolve into a "Strategic Learning Coach" who facilitates group discussions, encourages divergent application of AI-learned skills, and bridges the gap between technical competency and organizational culture.

Graham, T. D. (2023) advises a necessary balance. The study suggests that private sector firms should integrate mandatory off-screen, collaborative, and creative workshops into their AI-L&D strategy to ensure that digitally efficient learning is complemented by the development of essential 'soft' creative and social intelligence skills.

Profile of the Study Area:

First Source Solutions Limited, a global provider of Business Process Management (BPM) services. It has a significant presence in Chennai with multiple delivery centres. First Source Solutions Limited is a part of the RP-Sanjiv Goenka Group and is a publicly traded company. Its global headquarters are in Mumbai, India, but it operates delivery and service centers across the globe, including several locations in Chennai.

Digital Focus: 'Digital First, Digital Now' approach, leveraging AI, analytics, automation, cloud, and digital platforms.

Industries Served: Healthcare (Hospitals, Health Plans), Banking and Financial Services (BFS), Communications, Media, and Technology (CMT), and Diversified Industries (e.g., Utilities, Public Services).

First Source Presence in Chennai includes Navalur, an office is located in Ozone Tech Park (OTP), another is in Sandhya Infocity SEZ (Block 4, 5th floor), Perungudi and Ambattur Industrial Estate

4. FINDINGS AND OBSERVATIONS OF THE STUDY

1. The study reveals that 46% of respondents use AI-powered chatbots/Virtual Assistants for instant HR queries (e.g., leave, policy), 29% use AI tools for personalized Learning & Development (L&D) recommendations, 17% use AI-driven performance feedback or goal-tracking systems for their daily official needs

2. The results of the analyses explore that 48% of respondents use AI HR features daily, 32% use it Weekly, 14% uses monthly and 5% uses it rarely

3. Here the perception of the employees when a new HR enable technique is implemented, 38% of respondents says they are very well trained; feel confident using it, 44% are Adequately trained; and can manage but have some questions, 12% are poorly trained; and they learned it out through trial and error and 6% are not trained at all.

4. The perception of the respondents in connection with their personalized development through AI Work Space reveals that 37% of respondents accept that AI tools are significantly more personalized, 40% believes they are moderately more personalized, 9% say it is less personalized and 13% denotes that AI tools are not applicable for the positions or designations they are employed.

5. In view of the fact that AI enabled HRM tools reducing the administrative burden of the employees like paperwork, manual form filling, etc., 33% strongly agree, 36% agree, 14% are neutral, 9% disagree and 8% strongly disagree the factor.

6. While rating the fairness and objectivity of the AI driven systems during performance appraisal or career progression, 29% rates 5 upon 5, 24% rates 4, 21% rates 3, 15% rates 2 and 10% rates 1

7. In view of the data privacy while embracing the AI enable tools for work, 36% are very concerned, 47% are moderately concerned, 12% are slightly concerned and 5% have no concern.

8. The view of respondents on technical glitches, hindering the ability to complete a task reveals that, 26% say frequently, 28% occasionally, 37% rarely and 9% never encountered such situations.

9. In view of the employees that AI enabled HRM tools causing the reduction of manual resources and a threat to employment, 36% strongly agree, 37% agree, 19% are neutral, 5% disagree and 4% strongly disagree the factor.

10. The respondents' opinion on increasing the Employee Exposure due to adoption of AI in HRM is that 39% says that it will significantly improve the Employee Exposure, 36% denotes slight improvement in the Employee Exposure, 15% say it will have no noticeable impact, while 6% and 4% says it will slightly worsen the Employee Exposure and will significantly worsen the Employee Exposure respectively

5. SUGGESTIONS

1. The organisation should ensure that more workers to be encouraged to use AI as the tool for Learning and Development

2. The 5% of workers who rarely use AI enabled HR tools are not a big issue but the management should motivate them to make of these facilities and strengthen the technological canvas of the organisation

3. It reveals a very good sign that majority of respondents are receptive towards the technology. Now the organisation should take necessary initiatives to make event the others to embrace the technological work space

4. The organisation needs to adopt special concern to secure the privacy matters of the workers while using the digital HR platforms

5. 26% of respondents faces technical glitches frequently, hence it is the duty of the management to take up necessary initiatives to reduce these threats

6. CONCLUSION

The results and findings of the study are highly evidential that the Digital HR Environment of the organisation is very vibrant. The organization being the one exercising IT solutions as its nature of business should expand further into the AI enabled HRM Techniques. They even conduct special training session on this concern, the outcomes of the same are also to be examine for the better shaping of Learning and Development. Hence, it is concluded that the use AI in the realm of HRM is highly possible in the contemporary conditions of business.

7. REFERENCES

[1] Chen, L., & Gupta, S. (2024). The Adaptive Algorithm: Tailoring L&D to the Gen Z Mindset. Journal of Corporate Education and Technology, 18(2), 145-162.

[2] Miller, A. P., & Johnson, D. K. (2025). HR's New Mandate: From Administrator to Architect via AI. Human Resource Management Review, 45(1), 5-19.

[3] Rodríguez, M. L. (2023). Micro-Learning and Mobility: Maximizing Engagement for the Modern Worker. International Journal of Training and Development, 29(4), 512-528.

[4] Singh, R. (2024). Predictive Analytics in L&D: Forecasting Turnover and Upskilling Needs. Business Strategy and the Environment, 33(7), 1201-1218.

[5] Weber, H. (2025). Beyond the Classroom: The Economic Efficiency of AI in Corporate Training. European Management Journal, 43(3), 288-305.

[6] Dubois, P., & Kim, Y. (2024). The Generative Gap: AI, Automation, and the Decline of Foundational Ideation. Creativity Research Journal, 36(3), 320-337.

[7] Tanaka, K. (2025). Synthesizing Serendipity: Designing AI Systems for Non-Linear Exploration. TechTrends, 69(1), 45-58.

[8] Vance, J. R., & Wu, C. (2023). The Criticality Crisis: How Personalized Feedback May Limit Self-Correction. Educational Psychology Review, 35(5), 1011-1030.

[9] Harris, L. B. (2024). The Superagency Model: Augmenting Human Judgment with AI Tools. MIT Sloan Management Review, 66(4), 55-61.

[10] Adebayo, O. (2025). Teaching the Prompt: The New Core Skill for the AI-Augmented Workforce. Journal of Modern Work and Skills, 5(2), 177-195.

[11] Pérez, F. A. (2024). Generational Divides in L&D: Gen Z's Thirst for 'Why' over 'How'. Organizational Dynamics, 53(3), 100344.

[12] Wang, C. (2023). The Ethical Algorithm: Preparing Gen Z for Responsible AI Utilization. Journal of Business Ethics, 188(1), 77-94.

[13] Hoffman, K. (2025). The Role of Affective Computing in Employee Engagement. Computers in Human Behavior, 150, 108151.

[14] Sharma, A., & Lee, S. (2024). From Instructor to Coach: Re-Evaluating the Human Role in AI-Driven Education. Management Learning, 55(2), 245-260.

[15] Graham, T. D. (2023). Digital Detox in Development: The Value of Non-Screen-Based Creative Training. Training & Development Journal, 77(10), 34-40.