

RECENT ADVANCES IN THE SYNTHESIS OF PYRROLE DERIVATIVES: MECHANISM

Miss. Snehal Appasaheb Pawar¹, Dr. Megha T. Salve²

¹Shivajirao Pawar College Of Pharmacy, Pachegaon- 413725, Tal. Newasa, Dist. Ahilyanagar,
Maharashtra, India.

²Guide, Shivajirao Pawar College Of Pharmacy, Pachegaon- 413725, Tal. Newasa, Dist. Ahilyanagar,
Maharashtra, India.

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.58257/IJPREMS45292>

ABSTRACT

Pyrroles represent a fundamental class of five-membered heterocycles that play a vital role in organic and medicinal chemistry due to their presence in numerous natural products, pharmaceuticals, and functional materials. Their unique electronic and structural features make them versatile scaffolds for drug discovery, exhibiting a wide range of biological activities including anticancer, antimicrobial, and anti-inflammatory properties. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the major synthetic strategies developed for the construction of pyrrole derivatives, covering both classical and modern methodologies. Traditional approaches such as the Paal–Knorr synthesis, Knorr condensation, and Hantzsch routes are discussed alongside contemporary techniques that employ transition-metal catalysis, multicomponent reactions, and green chemistry protocols. The review spans literature from the past two decades, emphasizing the evolution of synthetic efficiency, selectivity, and sustainability in pyrrole synthesis. Emerging trends include the application of photoredox catalysis, electrochemical synthesis, and biocatalytic transformations, which have opened new pathways for pyrrole functionalization under mild and environmentally friendly conditions. Finally, the review highlights current challenges and outlines future perspectives, focusing on the integration of computational design, flow chemistry, and renewable feed stocks to further advance the synthesis and application of pyrrole-based compounds.

Keywords: Pyrrole Derivatives, Heterocyclic Compounds, Synthetic Methodologies, Multicomponent Reactions (MCRs), Green Chemistry, Catalytic Synthesis, Mechanistic Insights.

1. INTRODUCTION

The development of pyrrole synthesis began in the late nineteenth century with the establishment of classical methods that created the foundation for modern heterocyclic chemistry. One of the earliest and most influential strategies was the Paal–Knorr synthesis, discovered independently by Carl Paal and Ludwig Knorr in **1884**, which involved the cyclization of 1,4-dicarbonyl compounds with ammonia or primary amines to form pyrroles. Shortly after, Ludwig Knorr introduced the Knorr pyrrole synthesis in **1886**, based on the condensation of α -amino ketones with β -dicarbonyl compounds. Another key breakthrough was the Hantzsch pyrrole synthesis, developed by Arthur Hantzsch in **1890**, which allowed pyrrole formation through the reaction of β -ketoesters, aldehydes, and ammonia. Together, these early discoveries provided chemists with reliable methods for constructing the pyrrole ring and laid the groundwork for synthetic heterocyclic chemistry in pharmaceuticals and materials science.

The mid-twentieth century saw further refinement with the introduction of specialized and functionally diverse pyrrole-forming reactions. In **1952**, Niels Clauson-Kaas and Zoltán Tyle described the Clauson–Kaas reaction, which enabled the preparation of N-substituted pyrroles from primary amines and 2,5-dialkoxytetrahydrofuran under acidic conditions. This method became widely used due to its simplicity and industrial applicability. In **1953**, Piloty and Robinson developed the Piloty–Robinson synthesis, based on the rearrangement of hydrazones under acidic conditions to yield pyrroles. A few decades later, modern organophosphorus and isocyanide chemistry expanded pyrrole synthesis with the Van Leusen pyrrole synthesis, discovered by A. M. van Leusen in **1972**, which used tosylmethyl isocyanide (TosMIC) as a powerful building block for substituted pyrroles. Another important innovation followed in **1994**, when David Barton and Philippe Zard reported the Barton–Zard synthesis, enabling the formation of pyrroles from nitroalkenes and isocyanoacetates and providing access to highly functionalized derivatives.


From the early **2000s** onward, pyrrole synthesis entered a phase driven by sustainability, efficiency, and structural complexity. Multicomponent reactions (MCRs) emerged as dominant strategies, allowing several bonds to form in a single step and minimizing reaction time and waste. Alongside this, organocatalysis gained prominence after **2005**, offering metal-free approaches using small organic molecules such as proline and imidazolidinones. Around **2010**, microwave-assisted and solvent-free techniques became popular for accelerating classical reactions like Paal–Knorr

and Clauson–Kaas syntheses, significantly improving yields and reducing environmental impact. These strategies aligned with the principles of green chemistry and became widely used in academic and industrial laboratories.

The period between **2015** and **2025** marked a technological expansion in pyrrole synthesis through photoredox catalysis, C–H activation, and electrochemical techniques. Visible-light photoredox catalysis enabled new radical-mediated pathways for pyrrole construction, allowing chemists to access complex substitution patterns under mild conditions. After **2020**, electrochemical methods emerged as sustainable alternatives to oxidants and reductants, using electric current to drive key bond-forming steps in pyrrole formation. Simultaneously, C–H activation strategies revolutionized regioselective functionalization by allowing chemists to modify pyrroles at specific positions without pre-functionalization. Research during this decade also focused on 2H-pyrroles, fused pyrrole systems, and heterocycle-rich drug candidates, highlighting the role of pyrroles in anticancer, antimicrobial, and optoelectronic applications. By **2025**, pyrrole synthesis had evolved into a highly diversified field offering classical reliability combined with modern catalytic sophistication, enabling precision design of molecules for advanced pharmaceutical and material

The synthesis of pyrrole derivatives has evolved significantly from classical acid-catalyzed condensations to highly selective and sustainable modern methodologies. The following classification provides an overview based on chronological development, mechanistic diversity, and precursor types

Paal–Knorr Synthesis




Scheme 1: General Paal-knorr synthesis Reaction

The Paal–Knorr condensation (**1884**) remains one of the most widely used classical routes for pyrrole synthesis. It involves the acid-catalyzed cyclization of 1,4-dicarbonyl compounds with primary amines or ammonia to form unsubstituted or N-substituted pyrroles.

High atom economy, simple starting materials, and broad substrate scope.

Poor tolerance to moisture-sensitive or sterically hindered substrates; limited control over substitution patterns.


Synthesis and Mechanism:



Scheme 2: Mechanism Of Paal-knorr Synthesis

Paal–Knorr is often high-yielding (commonly 60–95% depending on substrate and method). Modern improvements include ionic-liquid media, heterogeneous catalysts, flow and microwave protocols that often raise yields and reduce time; one-pot in-situ generation of 1,4-diketones is also used.

Knorr Pyrrole Synthesis




Scheme 3: General Knorr Pyrrole Synthesis Reaction

Developed in 1884, the Knorr synthesis involves the condensation of α -aminoketones with β -dicarbonyl compounds under acidic conditions.

Enamine formation followed by cyclization and dehydration to yield substituted pyrroles.

Widely used in the preparation of bioactive heterocycles and alkaloid intermediates.


Synthesis And Mechanism:



Scheme 4: Mechanism Of knorr Pyrrole Synthesis

Yields are substrate dependent (often 40-80% in classical literature). Recent papers describe in-situ generation of α -aminoketones, catalytic variants and domino reactions improving step-economy and yields.

Hantzsch Pyrrole Synthesis:




Scheme 5: General Hantzsch Pyrrole Synthesis Reaction

The Hantzsch method (1890) involves the reaction of β -ketoesters, aldehydes, and ammonia or amines. It typically affords 2,5-disubstituted pyrroles.

Condensation followed by cyclodehydration.

Accessibility of starting materials and flexibility in substitution patterns.

Synthesis And Mechanism:




Scheme 6: Mechanism Of Hantzsch Pyrrole Synthesis

Yields vary (40-85%). Modern reports show organocatalytic, solvent-free and mechanochemical versions, as well as microwave-assisted protocols that improve speed and yields. Recent Advances In Pyrrole Synthesis


Piloty-Robinson Pyrrole Synthesis:

The Piloty–Robinson pyrrole synthesis, developed by Gertrude and Robert Robinson in collaboration with Oskar Piloty, utilizes two equivalents of an aldehyde and one equivalent of hydrazine as the starting reactants for the formation of substituted pyrroles. This synthetic approach predominantly yields 3,4-disubstituted pyrrole derivatives, depending on the nature of the aldehyde employed.



Scheme 7: Piloty Robinson Pyrrole Synthesis

Initially, hydrazine undergoes condensation with two molecules of the aldehyde to form a di-imine intermediate (R–C=N=N=C–R). This intermediate then rearranges through a [3,3]-sigmatropic transformation, which plays a crucial role in establishing the molecular framework required for pyrrole ring formation. Subsequent treatment with hydrochloric acid facilitates intramolecular cyclization accompanied by the elimination of ammonia, resulting in the formation of the pyrrole nucleus. The detailed mechanistic pathway for this reaction was systematically proposed and validated by the Robinsons.




Scheme 8: Mechanism Of Piloty-Robinson Pyrrole Synthesis

A modified version of this reaction involves the stepwise treatment of propionaldehyde with hydrazine followed by benzoyl chloride, typically conducted at elevated temperatures. The process efficiency is significantly enhanced by the application of microwave irradiation, which reduces reaction time and improves yield, thereby offering a more practical and rapid route to pyrrole synthesis. Classical protocols required harsh conditions and gave moderate yields; microwave-assisted and modified N-acylation strategies (e.g., pre-benzoylation of intermediates) improved yields and selectivity (often 50-80% in optimized examples).


Barton- zard Pyrrole Synthesis:

The Barton–Zard reaction is a base-promoted method for synthesizing substituted pyrroles through the reaction of a nitroalkene with an α -isocyanide. It was first reported by Derek Barton and Samir Zard in 1985.



$R^1 = \text{H, 4-OMe-C}_6\text{H}_5, \text{OH, 4-OMe-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CO}$


$R^2 = \text{4-OMe-C}_6\text{H}_4, \text{4-Cl-C}_6\text{H}_4, \text{4-NO}_2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4, \text{3,5-(OMe)}_2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4, \text{OH}$



Scheme 9: Barton Zard Reaction Of Formation Pyrrole

Barton-Zard often gives good to excellent yields (60-95% in optimized cases) and is valued for building substituted pyrroles convert gently. Downsides: use of isocyanide reagents (smell/toxicity) and sometimes additional denitration steps. Recent work has expanded to vinyl sulfones and different activated alkenes.

Van Leusen Pyrrole Synthesis:




Scheme 10: Substituent effect at the phenolic or alkynyl arene ring. Reaction conditions: o-hydroxyphenyl propargylamines **1** (0.2 equiv.), methyl isocyanide **2a** (0.3 mmol, 1.5 equiv.), H_2O (1.2 mmol, 6.0 equiv.), DBU (0.4 mmol, 2.0 equiv.) in undried acetonitrile (1.0 mL) at room temperature under an air atmosphere for 15 h. Isolated yields were reported.

The Van Leusen pyrrole synthesis was developed by Albert M. van Leusen and co-workers in the early 1970s following the discovery of tosylmethyl isocyanide (TosMIC) in 1972. The method introduced a new isocyanide-based strategy for constructing pyrrole rings, where TosMIC reacts with carbonyl compounds under basic conditions to afford substituted pyrroles. This approach became widely used due to its mild conditions, operational simplicity, and broad substrate scope.

Many Van Leusen/TosMIC routes report moderate to good yields (40-85%); the reagent is robust and has been adapted to many cascade and spiroannulation processes. Recent reviews summarize its broad use for polysubstituted pyrroles.

Clauson-Kass Pyrrole Synthesis:

The reaction was first reported in 1952 by N. Clauson-Kaas and Z. Tyle. In its original form, acetic acid served as the protonic catalyst; however, numerous alternative catalytic systems have since been introduced to improve reaction efficiency and environmental compatibility. Modern adaptations include the use of various Brønsted acids, metal-based catalysts, and nano-structured organocatalysts.



Scheme 11: Clauson Kass Synthesis of Formation pyrroles

The Clauson–Kaas reaction is a widely used synthetic method for the preparation of N-substituted pyrroles through an acid-promoted condensation of primary aliphatic or aromatic amines with 2,5-dialkoxytetrahydrofuran.

Clauson-Kaas often gives good to excellent yields (many modern variants report 70–100% for suited substrates). Green catalysts, solvent-free and microwave methods have improved scope and yields; the reaction is widely used to convert amines into N-aryl and N-alkyl pyrroles.

Yields Range:

Paal-Knorr: 60–95% (substrate & protocol dependent).

Knorr: 40–80%.

Hantzsch: 40–85%.

Piloty-Robinson: 50–80% (modern microwave improvements).


Barton-Zard: 60–95% (optimized examples).

Van Leusen/TosMIC: 40–85%.

Clauson-Kaas: 46–100% (many optimized reports near-quantitative).


Recent Changes In Paal-knorr Synthesis:

Darabi et al. introduced in **2012** a sustainable and operationally simple modification of the Paal–Knorr pyrrole synthesis through the application of a bio-derived organocatalyst under metal-free conditions. This catalytic strategy utilized thiamine (vitamin B₁) as a green catalyst to promote the condensation of hexane-2,5-dione with structurally diverse aromatic amines in ethanol at room temperature, enabling rapid pyrrole formation within one hour. The methodology exhibited notable functional group tolerance, as aromatic amines bearing both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents at various ring positions underwent smooth cyclization, providing N-substituted pyrroles in yields ranging from 25% to 94%. Importantly, the protocol highlights a rare example of vitamin-mediated heterocycle construction, underscoring its novelty in merging biocatalytic principles with classical heterocyclic chemistry. However, ortho-nitro-substituted anilines were ineffective substrates, presumably due to steric and electronic deactivation that impeded nucleophilic participation during the cyclization step.




Scheme 12: Vitamin B₁-catalyzed synthesis of substituted pyrroles 34

In 2013, Azizi et al. reported an aqueous Paal–Knorr protocol for the synthesis of N-substituted pyrroles using squaric acid as an organocatalyst. The condensation of hexane-2,5-dione with various aromatic amines was carried out in water at 60 °C, affording the corresponding pyrrole derivatives in yields of 40–95% after 5 h. The same transformation performed under ultrasonic irradiation furnished the target compounds in comparably good yields, indicating that the reaction can benefit from alternative activation modes. Although the exact mechanistic role of squaric acid has not been fully elucidated, its catalytic activity is primarily attributed to Brønsted acid activation, which is presumed to enhance carbonyl polarization and promote cyclization efficiency.




Scheme 13: Squaric acid-catalyzed synthesis of pyrrole derivatives 36.

The synergistic combination of urea with choline chloride forms an effective deep eutectic system that functions as both solvent and organocatalyst in organic synthesis. In this framework, Handy and Lavender (2013) developed an environmentally benign methodology for the synthesis of N-substituted pyrroles through the Paal–Knorr condensation of 1,4-diones with primary amines. The reaction was conducted at 80 °C for 12–24 h and furnished the corresponding pyrrole derivatives in excellent yields ranging from 56% to 99%. Mechanistically, urea enhances the electrophilicity of the carbonyl group by establishing bifurcated hydrogen-bond interactions with the oxygen atoms, thereby promoting nucleophilic addition of the amine and subsequent cyclization. The protocol demonstrates broad substrate tolerance, as both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents on the diketone and amine substrates are well accommodated, resulting in high efficiency and reproducibility across a wide substrate scope.




Scheme 14: Synthesis of pyrroles 39 from 1,4-diones and amines.

Akelis et al. reported a rapid and solvent-free mechanochemical strategy for the synthesis of N-substituted pyrroles using a biomass-derived organic acid as catalyst. The protocol involves ball-milling of 1,4-diketones with aliphatic or aromatic amines in the presence of citric acid at a frequency of 30 Hz, delivering the desired pyrrole derivatives within 15–30 min in moderate to good yields (23–84%). The methodology was further expanded to include selective desymmetrization of diamines and the synthesis of bis(pyrrole) frameworks by employing a range of aliphatic and aromatic diamines under identical milling conditions. Product distribution between mono-pyrrole and bis(pyrrole) derivatives was governed by the structural features of the diketone and diamine substrates, demonstrating the tunability and versatility of the mechanochemical approach.




$R = R^1 = \text{Me}, R^2 = 4\text{-I-C}_6\text{H}_4,$	74%
$R = R^1 = \text{Me}, R^2 = \text{C}_6\text{H}_4,$	66%
$R = R^1 = \text{Me}, R^2 = \text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{OH},$	80%
$R = R^1 = \text{Me}, R^2 = \text{Benzyl},$	71%
$R = \text{Me}, R^1 = \text{Ph}, R^2 = 4\text{-I-Ph},$	26%, 52% after 30min
$R = \text{Me}, R^1 = \text{Ph}, R^2 = \text{C}_6\text{H}_4,$	23%, 49% after 30min
$R = \text{Me}, R^1 = \text{Ph}, R^2 = \text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{OH},$	72%

Scheme 15: Mechanochemical method for the synthesis of pyrroles.




Scheme 16: Synthesis of pyrroles by using diamines as the reactants

In 2015, Bhandari and Gaonkar reported an efficient synthesis of N-substituted 2,5-dimethylpyrroles via a two-component Paal–Knorr cyclocondensation between hexane-2,5-dione and a variety of aromatic hydrazides. The reaction was performed in methanol at ambient temperature using saccharin (25 mol%) as the catalyst and was completed within 30 min. This protocol is notable for its operational simplicity, mild conditions, and environmentally benign nature. The low toxicity, low cost, and recyclability of the catalyst, along with straightforward product isolation, contribute to the practical utility of the method. Furthermore, a wide range of aromatic and heterocyclic hydrazides were well tolerated, consistently producing the corresponding pyrrole derivatives in good to excellent yields, demonstrating the broad applicability of the approach.



Scheme 17: Saccharin-catalyzed synthesis of pyrroles 43.

In 2016, Aghapoor et al. described an environmentally benign protocol for the synthesis of N-substituted pyrroles via the reaction of hexane-2,5-dione with a series of aromatic amines using the naturally occurring amino acid L-tryptophan as an organocatalyst. The transformation was performed under solvent-free conditions at 70 °C and furnished the desired pyrrole derivatives in excellent yields (86–97%) within 1–2 h. Mechanistically, the process is initiated by a condensation between the diketone and the amine in the presence of the catalyst. L-Tryptophan enhances the electrophilicity of the carbonyl groups through hydrogen-bonding interactions, thereby promoting nucleophilic attack by the amine nitrogen. Subsequent dehydration and catalyst dissociation complete the cyclization, affording the final pyrrole products efficiently.



Scheme 18: Synthesis of pyrroles via double-condensation reaction.

2. CONCLUSION

Pyrrole and its derivatives continue to occupy a central position in synthetic organic chemistry due to their wide-ranging applications in pharmaceuticals, materials science, natural product synthesis, and coordination chemistry. Despite their synthetic versatility, challenges such as low yields, selectivity issues, environmental concerns, and limited scalability persist in many traditional approaches. Recent advances highlight the growing emphasis on green and sustainable methods, including solvent-free, photocatalytic, and electrochemical routes that minimize waste and

energy consumption. Moreover, the integration of artificial intelligence, machine learning, biocatalysis, and flow chemistry represents a transformative shift toward more efficient and predictive synthesis paradigms. Continuous innovation in pyrrole synthesis is not merely a scientific necessity but a strategic imperative for expanding their real-world applications. By merging sustainability, automation, and molecular design, future research can achieve high selectivity, operational simplicity, and scalability ultimately reinforcing pyrroles as indispensable frameworks in modern chemical and pharmaceutical development.

3. REFERENCE

- [1] William M. Haynes (2016). CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics (97th ed.). Boca Raton: CRC Press. pp. 3–478. ISBN 978-1-4987-5429-3.
- [2] International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (2014). Nomenclature of Organic Chemistry: IUPAC Recommendations and Preferred Names 2013. The Royal Society of Chemistry. 141
doi:10.1039/9781849733069(<https://doi.org/10.1039/9781849733069>) . ISBN 978-0-85404-182-4.
- [3] Loudon, Marc G. (2002). "Chemistry of Naphthalene and the Aromatic Heterocycles". Organic Chemistry (4th ed.). New York: Oxford University Press. pp. 1135–1136. ISBN 978-0-19-511999-2.
- [4] Cox, Michael; Lehninger, Albert L.; Nelson, David R. (2000). Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry (<https://archive.org/details/lehningerprincip11lehn>) . New York: Worth Publishers. ISBN 978-1-57259-153-0.
- [5] Jusélius, Jonas; Sundholm, Dage (2000). "The aromatic pathways of porphins, chlorins and bacteriochlorins" (<https://zenodo.org/record/900813>) . Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2 (10): 2145–2151 Bibcode:2000PCCP...2.214 (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000PCCP...2.2145J>) .
doi:10.1039/b000260g (<https://doi.org/10.1039/2Fb000260g>) .
- [6] Armarego, Wilfred L. F.; Chai, Christina L. L. (2003). Purification of Laboratory Chemicals (5th ed.). Elsevier. p. 346.
- [7] Smith, Michael B.; March, Jerry (2007), Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure (<https://books.google.com/books?id=JDRnZpojeEC&printsec=frontcover>) (6th ed.), New York: Wiley-Interosseous, p. 62, ISBN 978-0-471-72091-1
- [8] Runge, F. F. (1834). "Ueber einige Produkte der Steinkohlendestillation" (<http://babel.hathitrust.org/cgi/pt?id=wu.89048351654;view=1up;seq=81>) [On some products of coal distillation]. Annalen der Physik und Chemie. 31 (5): 65–78. Bibcode:1834AnP...107...65R (<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1834AnP...107...65R>) doi:10.1002/andp.18341070502 (<https://doi.org/10.1002/2Fandp.18341070502>) . See especially pages 67–68, where Runge names the compound Pyrrol (fire oil) or Rothöl (red oil).
- [9] Harreus, Albrecht Ludwig. "Pyrrole". Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry. Weinheim Wiley-VCHdoi:10.1002/14356007.a22_453 (https://doi.org/10.1002/2F14356007.a22_453) . ISBN 978-3-527-30673-2.
- [10] Fowles, Jefferson; Bates, Michael; Noiton, Dominique (March 2000). "The Chemical Constituents in Cigarettes and Cigarette Smoke: Priorities for Harm Reduction" ([http://www.moh.govt.nz/moh.nsf/pagescm/1003/\\$File/chemicalconstituentscigarettespriorities.pdf](http://www.moh.govt.nz/moh.nsf/pagescm/1003/$File/chemicalconstituentscigarettespriorities.pdf)) (PDF). Porirua, New Zealand: New Zealand Ministry of Health. pp. 20, 49–65. Retrieved 2012-09-23.
- [11] Lubell, W.; Saint-Cyr, D.; Dufour-Gallant, J.; Hopewell, R.; Boutard, N.; Kassem, T.; Dörr, A.; Zelli, R. (2013). "1H-Pyrroles (Update 2013)" (<https://www.thieme.de/en/thieme-chemistry/sos-knowledge-updates-2013-58727.htm>) . Science of Synthesis. 2013 (1): 157–388.
- [12] Gilchrist, Thomas L. (1997). Heterocyclic Chemistry (3rd ed.). Liverpool: Longman. p. 194-196.
- [13] Jie Jack Li (2013). Heterocyclic Chemistry in Drug Discovery (https://books.google.com/books?id=p_fM6CuK6xcC) . New York: Wiley. ISBN 9781118354421. pp.43-4
- [14] Barton, Derek H. R.; Zard, Samir Z. (1985). "A new synthesis of pyrroles from nitroalkenes". Journal of the Chemical Society, Chemical Communications (16): 1098.
doi:10.1039/C39850001098 (<https://doi.org/10.1039/2FC39850001098>) .
- [15] Lash, Timothy D.; Novak, Bennett H.; Lin, Yanning (April 1994). "Synthesis of phenanthropyrroles and phenanthrolinopyrroles from isocyanoacetates: An extension of the barton-zard pyrrole condensation". Tetrahedron Letters. 35 (16): 2493–2494.
doi:10.1016/S0040-4039(00)77152-8(<https://doi.org/10.1016/2FS00404039%2800%2977152-8>) .
- [16] Finikova, Olga S.; Cheprakov, Andrei V.; Beletskaya, Irina P.; Carroll, Patrick J.; Vinogradov, Sergei A. (January 2004). "Novel Versatile Synthesis of Substituted Tetrabenzoporphyrins". The Journal of Organic

- Chemistry. 69 (2): 522–535. doi:10.1021/jo0350054 (<https://doi.org/10.1021%2Fjo0350054>) . PMID 14725469(<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14725469>) .
- [17] Ono, Noboru (2008). "Barton-Zard Pyrrole Synthesis and Its Application to Synthesis of Porphyrins, Polypyrroles, and Dipyrromethene Dyes" (<https://doi.org/10.3987%2FREV-07-622>) . Heterocycles. 75 (2): 243. doi:10.3987/REV-07-62 (<https://doi.org/10.3987%2FREV-07-622>) .
- [18] S. K. Mondal, S. A. Ali, S. K. Manna, A. Bera, A. Mandal, B. K. Senapati, M. Hossain and S. Samanta, Chem. Select., 2017, 2, 9312–9318.
- [19] Sk. A. Ali, S. K. Mondal, T. Das, S. K. Manna, A. Bera, D. Dafadar, S. Naskar, M. R. Molla and S. Samanta, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2019, 17, 4652–4662.
- [20] T. U. Thihekar and C. M. Sun, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2017, 359, 3388–3396.
- [21] P. Chuentragool, Z. Li, K. Randle, F. Mahchi, I. Ochir, S. Assaf and V. Gevorgya, J. Org. metal. Chem., 2018, 867, 273–277.
- [22] I. A. Andreev, N. K. Ratmanova, A. M. Novoselov, D. S. Belov, I. F. Seregina and A. V. Kurkin, Chem. – Eur. J., 2016, 22, 7262–7267.
- [23] S. Mukherjee, S. Sarkar and A. Pramanik, Chem. Select., 2018, 3, 1537–1544.
- [24] H. Yahyavi, M. M. Heravi, M. Mahdavi and A. Foroumadi, Tetrahedron Lett., 2018, 59, 94–98.
- [25] Mahmoudi, H.; Jafari, A. A. ChemCatChem 2013, 5, 3743–3749. doi:10.1002/cctc.201300623
- [26] Rohit, K. R.; Meera, G.; Anilkumar, G. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2022, 59, 194–200. doi:10.1002/jhet.4372
- [27] Ozaki, T.; Yorimitsu, H.; Perry, G. J. P. Tetrahedron 2022, 117–118, 132830. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2022.132830
- [28] Aydogan, F.; Yolacan, C. J. Chem. 2013, 2013, 976724. doi:10.1155/2013/976724
- [29] Abid, M.; Landge, S. M.; Török, B. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2006, 38, 495–500. doi:10.1080/00304940609356444
- [30] Silveira, C. C.; Fortes, M. P.; Mendes, S. R. Curr. Org. Chem. 2012, 16, 1540–1548. doi:10.2174/138527212800672538
- [31] Rivera, S.; Bandyopadhyay, D.; Banik, B. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 5445–5448. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2009.06.002
- [32] Gullapelli, K.; Brahmeshwari, G.; Ravichander, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop. 2019, 33, 143–148. doi:10.4314/bcse.v33i1.14
- [33] Walsh, Christopher T.; Garneau-Tsodikova, Sylvie; Howard-Jones, Annaleise R. (2006). "Biological formation of pyrroles: Nature's logic and enzymatic machinery". Natural Product Reports. 23 (4): 517–531. doi:10.1039/b605245m (<https://doi.org/10.1039%2Fb605245m>) . PMID 16874387 (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16874387>) .
- [34] Lehninger, Albert L.; Nelson, David L.; Cox, Michael M. (2000). Principles of Biochemistry (3rd ed.). New York: W. H. Freeman. ISBN 1-57259-153-6..
- [35] Walsh, C. T.; Garneau-Tsodikova, S.; Howard-Jones, A. R. (2006). "Biological formation of pyrroles: Nature's logic and enzymatic machinery". Nat. Prod. Rep. 23 (4): 517–531. doi:10.1039/b605245m (<https://doi.org/10.1039%2Fb605245m>) . PMID 16874387 (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16874387>) .