

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)

(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)

Vol. 04, Issue 12, December 2024, pp: 254-258

Impact

e-ISSN:

2583-1062

**Factor:** 7.001

# TEACHING METHODOLOGIES FOR ENGLISH LANGUAGE: A SURVEY-BASED ANALYSIS OF INTERACTION CHALLENGES AND ENGAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Aswanrajakrishna S S<sup>1</sup>, Prof. G. Anburaj<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Computer Science and Engineering Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632 014, Tamil Nadu, S. India.

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor of English Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore-632 014, Tamil Nadu, S. India.

anburaj.g@vit.ac.in

#### **ABSTRACT**

In order to improve interaction and participation in English language classes, this article investigates several teaching approaches. We analyze 101 students' responses to a survey on their preferences for classroom activities, perceived obstacles to interaction, and ideas for enhancement. While psychological obstacles like shyness and a fear of making mistakes affect involvement, research indicates that activities like group talks, practice conversations in real life, and interactive games increase engagement. These findings point to topics for further study on flexible and inclusive teaching strategies and offer guidance to educators looking to maximize their methods.

**Keywords**: Teaching methodologies, English language learning, student engagement, interaction challenges, survey analysis, instructional techniques

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In order to improve fluency, comprehension, and confidence in the context of English language instruction, interaction between students and teachers is crucial. Studies indicate that instructional strategies that promote engagement can improve language learning results. However, a lot of students struggle with interaction because they are shy or afraid of making mistakes. With the intention of educating educators on methods to establish more inclusive and stimulating learning environments, this article attempts to identify the most popular instructional activities and the primary barriers to involvement.

#### 2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

While there are many different teaching methods accessible, English language teachers often find it difficult to truly engage every student. In English classes, students' unwillingness to actively participate is frequently a barrier to interaction. This can be due to a variety of reasons, including a fear of making mistakes or discomfort with public speaking. In addition to identifying these issues, this study will shed light on the kinds of activities that encourage participation and active learning.

- 1. Interaction: Despite the emphasis on interactive learning in English language education, many students face barriers to active participation, such as fear of making mistakes and low confidence in speaking. This study seeks to identify teaching methods that can reduce these barriers and foster a more inclusive environment for student interaction.
- 2. Engagement: Many English language learners struggle to remain engaged during class, often due to teaching methods that fail to align with their interests and learning preferences. The study aims to explore which instructional strategies are most effective in maintaining high levels of engagement among students.
- 3. **Practical Application**: Although students may understand grammatical rules and vocabulary, many fail to apply their knowledge in real-life situations. This problem highlights the need for teaching approaches that emphasize practical language use and communicative competence.
- 4. Assessment Approaches: Traditional assessment methods in English language teaching often fail to accurately reflect students' language skills, focusing more on memorization than practical ability. This research will examine alternative assessment techniques that better capture communicative competence and language application.
- 5. Confidence Building: Low confidence levels in language skills prevent students from practicing and progressing effectively. This study investigates the role of supportive teaching strategies in building students' confidence, particularly for those hesitant to participate in speaking activities.

## Research Gap

Existing research emphasizes the value of communicative and interactive methods, like task-based and student-centered activities, in language learning. On the other hand, little is known about the effects of particular classroom activities on the levels of participation of various student populations. By determining which approaches work best for breaking down barriers to connection and encouraging active engagement, this study aims to close that gap.



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)

(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)

Vol. 04, Issue 12, December 2024, pp: 254-258

2583-1062 Impact

e-ISSN:

Factor:

7.001

## 3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Task-based learning, collaborative learning, and real-world language application are frequently emphasized in research on language acquisition teaching approaches. According to studies, language proficiency is enhanced by activities that feature real-world situations, like practicing conversations, and those that promote group engagement, such games or discussions. Personal characteristics like introversion and anxiety, however, continue to be major obstacles to complete participation, indicating that instructional strategies must be customized to meet the needs of each individual student.

#### 4. METHODOLOGY

101 students participated in a survey designed to gauge their experiences with various teaching philosophies, levels of involvement, and difficulties they face. Preferred activities, interaction hurdles, and opportunities for development in English language lessons were the main topics of the multiplechoice survey. Initially, 51 students' responses were gathered (using the Online data), and an additional 50 responses were supplied separately. To find patterns and preferences, the gathered data was then examined.

#### **Survey Results and Analysis**

#### 1. Teaching Methodologies

This section encompasses student preferences for various teaching methods, effective activities for language acquisition, and suggested adjustments to make activities more inclusive.

#### **Engagement with Teaching Activities**

Students favored **real-life conversation practice** (46 responses) and **interactive games** (24 responses), indicating that activities mimicking real-world language use enhance engagement. Other popular activities included **group work** and **writing tasks**, which suggest that collaborative and expressive exercises are also effective.

#### **Learning Activities**

Students highlighted **group discussions** (33 responses) and **writing exercises** (31 responses) as especially effective for language learning, underscoring the importance of interaction and structured practice. Listening-based tasks like **audio and video analysis** were also valued for building comprehension.

## **Desired Adjustments to Activities**

Students suggested several changes to improve inclusivity and engagement. For example, balancing **fun activities like games** (33 responses) and adjusting **listening exercises to comprehension levels** (23 responses) were frequent suggestions. Additionally, **making group discussions more inclusive** and adjusting the **length of writing assignments** could enhance accessibility and interest.

#### 2. Student Interaction and Engagement

This section focuses on students' interaction challenges, barriers to active participation, and their views on class dynamics.

#### **Barriers to Interaction**

Many students preferred **listening** (41 responses) over participating, mainly due to **fear of making mistakes** (23 responses) and **shyness** (18 responses). These findings highlight the need for a supportive environment that encourages active speaking without fear of judgment.

## **Challenges with Class Activities**

The most challenging activities included **speaking in front of the class** (41 responses) and **rapid quizzes** (15 responses), pointing to the need for gradual confidence-building steps. Students may benefit from smaller, low-stakes speaking tasks before engaging in full-class participation.

#### **Student Perception of Lesson Pace**

While many students felt **able to go with the flow** (36 responses), others either felt **left behind** (21 responses) or **unchallenged** (16 responses). This suggests that a differentiated approach to pacing could keep all students engaged and appropriately challenged.

# 5. DISCUSSION ON RESULTS

The information shows that in order to increase engagement, students choose hands-on, interactive activities such as group discussions, real-world talks, and interactive games. Shyness and fear of making mistakes were among the most common psychological obstacles, indicating that creating a low-stakes, encouraging atmosphere may help to overcome these problems.



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)

(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)

Vol. 04, Issue 12, December 2024, pp: 254-258

2583-1062 Impact

e-ISSN:

Factor:

7.001

# 6. UNEXPECTED FINDINGS

The appeal of story predictions and peer presentations as interesting listening exercises was one surprising discovery. This inclination implies that students relate to peer-led or narrative-based activities more, maybe as a result of their dynamic and cooperative nature.

# 7. SCOPE FOR FURTHER STUDIES

Future studies could examine flexible teaching strategies that accommodate a range of interaction preferences, like breaking up classes into smaller groups or adjusting the tempo of lessons using technology. Studies could also concentrate on methods for getting past psychological obstacles in language acquisition, like exercises that boost confidence.

## 8. CONCLUSION

According to this study, psychological barriers affect student participation in English language learning, while engaging and useful activities increase engagement. Teachers can use the research's insights to inform inclusive and flexible teaching strategies that cater to a range of student requirements, which will eventually boost their confidence and language skills.

## 9. REFERENCES

- [1] Aljaafreh, A., & Lantolf, J. P. (1994). Negative feedback as regulation and second language learning in the zone of proximal development. The Modern Language Journal, 78(4), 465483.
- [2] Anderson, N. J. (2008). Practical English language teaching: Reading. New York: McGraw
- [3] Hill.
- [4] Atkinson, D. (2011). Alternative approaches to second language acquisition. New York: Routledge.
- [5] Bachman, L. F., & Palmer, A. S. (1996). Language testing in practice. Oxford University Press.
- [6] Bailey, K. M., & Nunan, D. (2001). Voices from the language classroom: Qualitative research in second language education. Cambridge University Press.
- [7] Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. New York: W.H. Freeman.
- [8] Brown, H. D. (2007). Principles of language learning and teaching. Pearson Education.
- [9] Brown, J. D. (2005). Testing in language programs: A comprehensive guide to English language assessment. McGraw Hill.
- [10] Burns, A. (2010). Doing action research in English language teaching: A guide for practitioners. New York: Routledge.
- [11] Canagarajah, S. (2005). Critical pedagogy in L2 learning and teaching. International Journal of Applied Linguistics, 15(1), 93-119.
- [12] Celce-Murcia, M., & Larsen-Freeman, D. (1999). The grammar book: An ESL/EFL teacher's course. Heinle & Heinle.
- [13] Chamot, A. U. (2005). Language learning strategy instruction: Current issues and research. Annual Review of Applied Linguistics, 25, 112-130.
- [14] Chaudron, C. (1988). Second language classrooms: Research on teaching and learning. Cambridge University Press.
- [15] Dornyei, Z. (2001). Motivational strategies in the language classroom. Cambridge University Press.
- [16] Ellis, R. (2003). Task-based language learning and teaching. Oxford University Press.
- [17] Ellis, R. (2015). Understanding second language acquisition. Oxford University Press.
- [18] Gardner, R. C. (1985). Social psychology and second language learning: The role of attitudes and motivation. Edward Arnold.
- [19] Gass, S. M., & Selinker, L. (2008). Second language acquisition: An introductory course.
- [20] Routledge
- [21] Hadfield, J., & Dörnyei, Z. (2013). Motivating learning. Routledge.
- [22] Harmer, J. (2007). How to teach English. Pearson Education Limited.
- [23] Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. Review of Educational Research, 77(1), 81-112.
- [24] Hedge, T. (2000). Teaching and learning in the language classroom. Oxford University Press.
- [25] Horwitz, E. K. (2001). Language anxiety and achievement. Annual Review of Applied Linguistics, 21, 112-126.



# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT

# AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)

(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)

Impact Factor:

7.001

e-ISSN:

2583-1062

editor@ijprems.com

Vol. 04, Issue 12, December 2024, pp : 254-258

- [26] Johnson, K. E. (2009). Second language teacher education: A sociocultural perspective. Routledge.
- [27] Krashen, S. D. (1982). Principles and practice in second language acquisition. Pergamon.
- [28] Larsen-Freeman, D., & Anderson, M. (2011). Techniques and principles in language teaching. Oxford University Press.
- [29] Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (2013). How languages are learned. Oxford University Press. 28. Long, M. H. (2014). Second language acquisition and task-based language teaching. WileyBlackwell.
- [30] MacIntyre, P. D., & Gardner, R. C. (1994). The effects of induced anxiety on cognitive processing in second language learning. Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 16(1), 1-17.
- [31] McDonough, J., & Shaw, C. (2012). Materials and methods in ELT: A teacher's guide. Wiley-Blackwell.
- [32] Meddings, L., & Thornbury, S. (2009). Teaching unplugged: Dogme in English language teaching. Delta Publishing.
- [33] Mercer, S. (2011). Towards an understanding of language learner self-concept. Educational Psychology, 31(3), 339-357.
- [34] Nation, I. S. P., & Newton, J. (2009). Teaching ESL/EFL listening and speaking. Routledge.
- [35] Norton, B. (2000). Identity and language learning: Gender, ethnicity and educational change. Pearson Education.
- [36] Nunan, D. (2004). Task-based language teaching. Cambridge University Press.
- [37] Ortega, L. (2009). Understanding second language acquisition. Routledge.
- [38] Oxford, R. L. (1990). Language learning strategies: What every teacher should know. Heinle & Heinle.
- [39] Richards, J. C. (2001). Curriculum development in language teaching. Cambridge University Press.
- [40] Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). Approaches and methods in language teaching. Cambridge University Press.
- [41] Schunk, D. H., & Pajares, F. (2009). Self-efficacy theory. In K. R. Wentzel & A. Wigfield (Eds.), Handbook of motivation at school (pp. 35-54). Routledge.
- [42] Skehan, P. (1996). A framework for the implementation of task-based instruction. Applied Linguistics, 17(1), 38-62.
- [43] Swain, M. (1995). Three functions of output in second language learning. In G. Cook & B. Seidlhofer (Eds.), Principle and practice in applied linguistics (pp. 125-144). Oxford University Press.
- [44] Swain, M., & Lapkin, S. (2002). Talking it through: Two French immersion learners' response to reformulation. International Journal of Educational Research, 37(3-4), 285-304.
- [45] Thornbury, S. (2005). How to teach speaking. Pearson Education.
- [46] Ur, P. (2012). A course in English language teaching. Cambridge University Press.
- [47] Ushioda, E. (2008). Motivation and good language learners. In C. Griffiths (Ed.), Lessons from good language learners (pp. 19-34). Cambridge University Press.
- [48] van Lier, L. (2004). The ecology and semiotics of language learning: A sociocultural perspective. Kluwer Academic.
- [49] Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes.
- [50] Harvard University Press.
- [51] Walsh, S. (2006). Investigating classroom discourse. Routledge.
- [52] Williams, M., & Burden, R. L. (1997). Psychology for language teachers: A social constructivist approach. Cambridge University Press.
- [53] Winke, P., & Goertler, S. (2008). Did we forget someone? Students' computer access and literacy for CALL. CALICO Journal, 25(3), 482-509.
- [54] Wright, T. (1987). Roles of teachers and learners. Oxford University Press.
- [55] Young, D. J. (1999). Affect in foreign language and second language learning: A practical guide to creating a low-anxiety classroom atmosphere. McGraw-Hill.
- [56] Zamel, V. (1983). The composing processes of advanced ESL students: Six case studies. TESOL Quarterly, 17(2), 165-187.
- [57] Zhang, L. J., & Watkins, D. (2007). Conceptions of memorization and vocabulary learning strategies: A comparison of approaches to learning in Hong Kong and mainland China. The Modern Language Journal, 91(4), 665-680.



editor@ijprems.com

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PROGRESSIVE RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT

# AND SCIENCE (IJPREMS)

(Int Peer Reviewed Journal)

Vol. 04, Issue 12, December 2024, pp: 254-258

Impact Factor:

e-ISSN:

2583-1062

7.001

- [58] Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Self-efficacy: An essential motive to learn. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25(1), 82-91.
- [59] Al-Qahtani, M. (2015). The importance of vocabulary in language learning and how to be taught. International Journal of Teaching and Education, 3(3), 21-34.
- [60] Berwick, R., & Ross, S. (1989). Motivation after matriculation: Are Japanese learners of English still alive after examination hell? JALT Journal, 11(2), 193-210.
- [61] Bligh, D. A. (2000). What's the use of lectures? Intellect Books.
- [62] Johnson, K. (2016). An introduction to foreign language learning and teaching. Routledge.