

COOPERATIVE AND COMPETITIVE FEDERALISM IN INDIA: A STUDY WITH RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Vishal^{*1}

^{*1}KS Saket PG College, Dr. RML Avadh University, Ayodhya (Faizabad), India.

ABSTRACT

Federalism is derived from the Latin word foedus, which means agreement. The term “federal” has not been mentioned in the constitution but the working of Indian democracy is essentially federal in structure. The present government is stressing on the need to leverage the potential of cooperative and competitive federalism for achieving all round inclusive development in India. In 2017, the Niti Aayog called out for competitive “cooperative federalism” stressing that this formula would redefine the relationship between the Centre and the States. In this research paper the author tries to critical analyse the concept of cooperative and competitive federalism in India with recent developments.

Keywords: Federalism, Cooperative, Competitive, Niti Aayog.

1. INTRODUCTION

Federalism is derived from the Latin word foedus, which means agreement. In fact federation is an agreement between two types of governments sharing power and controlling their respective spheres. Thus a federation is a system of national and local governments, combined under a common sovereignty with both national as well as federating units having autonomous spheres assigned to them by the constitution. India opted for quasi-federal structure after independence. The term “federal” has not been mentioned in the constitution but the working of Indian democracy is essentially federal in structure. However, it is the practical working style of federalism, which brought the concept of cooperative federalism and competitive federalism in India.

The present government is stressing on the need to leverage the potential of cooperative and competitive federalism for achieving all round inclusive development in India. In this context there is a need to examine the concepts of cooperative and competitive federalism.

2. COOPERATIVE VS COMPETITIVE FEDERALISM

Based on the relationship between the central and state government—the concept of federalism is divided into- Co-operative federalism and Competitive federalism.

In Cooperative federalism the Centre and states share a horizontal relationship, where they “cooperate” in the larger public interest. It is an important tool to enable states’ participation in the formulation and implementation of national policies. Union and the states are constitutionally obliged to cooperate with each other on the matters specified in Schedule VII of the constitution.

In Competitive federalism the relationship between the Central and state governments is vertical and between state governments is horizontal. This idea of Competitive federalism gained significance in India post 1990s economic reforms. In a free-market economy, the endowments of states, available resource base and their comparative advantages all foster a spirit of competition. Increasing globalisation, however, increased the existing inequalities and imbalances between states. In Competitive federalism States need to compete among themselves and also with the Centre for benefits. States compete with each other to attract funds and investment, which facilitates efficiency in administration and enhances developmental activities. The investors prefer more developed states for investing their money. Union government devolves funds to the states on the basis of usage of previously allocated funds. Healthy competition strives to improve physical and social infrastructure within the state. Competitive federalism is not part of the basic structure of Indian constitution. It is the decision of executives.

3. CONSTITUTIONAL POSITION

Article 1 of the Constitution states, “India, that is Bharat, shall be a Union of States”. While the Constitution doesn’t mention the term “federal”, it does provide for a governance structure primarily federal in nature. It provides for separate governments at the Union and in the states. Further, it specifies and demarcates the powers, functions and jurisdictions of the two governments. Lastly, it details the legislative, administrative and financial relations between the Union and the states. The distribution of legislative powers has been divided into three lists: the Union List, the State List and the Concurrent List. The Union List, comprising the “vital interests of the State”, is the longest.

On the Union List, Parliament has exclusive powers to legislate. While the state has exclusive powers to legislate on the State List, in certain situations, Parliament can also do so. As per the Concurrent List, the issue is more complex.

In case of a conflict between a state and a Central legislation, the parliamentary legislation shall prevail. This, coupled with the fact that residuary powers of legislation are vested in the Union, gives a “unitary” tilt to federalism in India. A disconcerting trend has been observed since 1950. While the Union and Concurrent Lists have expanded, the State List seems to have shrunk. This has led many to question the structure of Indian federalism and to propose its remodelling.

4. STEPS TOWARD COMPETITIVE FEDERALISM

The acceptance of the 14th Finance Commission’s recommendations, apart from significantly enhanced devolution (devolution of 42% of the divisible pool to states during 2001-02 to 2019-20, against 32% suggested by the previous commission), enables states to design and implement programmes better suited to their needs.

Competitive federalism is not yet embraced by all the states. But a handful of states are clearly taking steps to strengthen their business environments, including initiating difficult reforms on land acquisition and labour flexibility. Federalism is no longer the fault line of Centre-State relations but the definition of a new partnership of team India.

The Central government has promised decentralisation of power and minimum interference in the State affairs.

- With the roll out of the GST, this federal structure is further cemented.
- Government has abolished Planning Commission and replaced it with NITI Aayog. One of the mandates of the NITI Aayog is to develop competitive federalism under it.
- State governments would not look towards centre for policy guidelines and fiscal resources.
- Share of states in central tax revenue has been increased from 32% to 42% after the recommendation of the finance commission.
- States have freedom to plan their expenditure based on their own priorities.
- States would work with centre on a shared vision of national objectives.
- Restructuring of centrally sponsored schemes.
- Financial sector bailout programme under UDAY scheme.
- Swachh Bharat Ranking system.
- Most of the state now organizes investors meet to showcase facilities in their state to attract business and investment. This has led to improvement in business environment in various states.
- State wise Ease of Doing Business ranking to build a huge sense of competition.

5. ROLE OF NITI AAYOG IN PROMOTING CO-OPERATIVE, COMPETITIVE FEDERALISM

In 2017, the Niti Aayog called out for competitive “cooperative federalism” stressing that this formula would redefine the relationship between the Centre and the States. Former vice chairman of Niti Aayog Arvind Panagariya put the onus on the States to reimagine brand India. Chief secretaries of States in one of the meetings even showcased the best practices being incorporated in their respective States, a move aimed at promoting cross fertilisation of ideas. There appears to be a silver lining in the functioning of the Aayog in enabling states competing with each other to promote governance initiatives in the spirit of “co-operative, competitive federalism”. An important objective of NITI Aayog is to establish a dynamic institutional mechanisms where ‘eminent individuals outside the government system’ could contribute to policy making. The priorities for the Aayog are evident with the suggestions for rationalisation of 66 central schemes on skill development and making Clean India a continuous program leading to the formation of three CM subcommittees. In a subtle manner, NITI Aayog not only puts the onus on Chief Ministers to hasten implementation of projects for the betterment of the state, but also make the state an attractive investment destination – a kind of competitive federalism. Given the greater scope for states to work together and learn from each other, it is obvious that for federalism to work well, these states must also fulfil their role in promoting the shared national objectives. It is true that India cannot advance without all its states advancing in tandem but it may so happen that by not granting the statutory status for the NITI Aayog, government has made it vulnerable to future ambush under a different political dispensation.

6. HINDRANCES FOR COMPETITIVE FEDERALISM

Several issues such as trust deficit and shrinkage of divisible pools plague Centre-State relations. Together, they make total cooperation difficult. Trust deficit between Centre and States is widening. Most state governments believe the thrust on federalism is limited to lofty ideas and big talks. Many States have shown their displeasure with the way the Centre has been dealing with the States. On one hand the Centre has increased the States’ share of the divisible pool but in reality States are getting a lesser share. The allocation towards various social welfare schemes has also come down, affecting the States’ health in turn. The present inter-state competition in attracting investment is too early to

determine whether it will really encourage competitive patterns of investment on a continuous basis. The socio-economic parameters and development of each State in India is different and while a few have made substantial progress in terms of employment, literacy and creating a conducive environment for doing business and investments, there are a few which are lagging. There are varied economic patterns in different states. There are deficit states or the backward regions or the states under debt. Those states should not be treated on par with the well-off states.

The states like West Bengal, Bihar, Orissa, and Assam have protested against the uniform approach in funding because of their special situations in which the central government has to provide special funds to these states. Without special funding these states cannot imagine their participation in competitive federalism. Though the states are provided with financial independence, it is a fallacy to assume that all the states would perform uniformly in the process of development because while some states have favourable factors like skilled labour, capital and infrastructure, innovative service industries other states lagging behind. The opposition of few well-off states with respect to revenue loss in implementation of GST system points that there is a lack of will in participating in the process of competitive federalism.

7. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

Efforts at cooperative and competitive federalism have commenced but need to be strengthened. NITI Aayog concentrates on the broader policy framework instead of micro resource-allocated functions. So there is a need to take some further steps. Reactivation of the Centre-State Council: Under Article 263, this council is expected to inquire and advice on disputes, discuss subjects common to all states and make recommendations for better policy coordination. The NITI Aayog can't replace the council's functions as it is the only recognised constitutional entity for harmonising the actions of the Centre and states. Its effective utilisation would lend legitimacy to cooperative federalism. On contentious issues like land, labour and natural resources, the state should promote best practices. This will enable greater investment and economic activity in states with a favourable regulatory framework. Enactment by states must secure expeditious Central approval. The World Bank's Ease of Doing Business index reflected competition between states has generated interest, this must be a continuing exercise. But states not doing well on the index complain of infirmities of process and procedure. These needs to be made more acceptable and transparent. On issues like international treaties, WTO obligations, or the environment an institutional mechanism must be evolved where important decisions are appropriately discussed with states. As India becomes globally more interdependent, these potential contentious issues must be resolved. India needs a mix of Competitive and Cooperative federalism There needs to be a mix of competitive and cooperative federalism for India to move ahead. The future for India is cooperative and competitive federalism. Competitive federalism provides the dynamism that needs to be unleashed. We need cooperative federalism to balance competitive federalism. Constitution needed to catch up with economics to "favour integration over granting sovereignty" to promote Indian internal integration. GST which seeks to introduce the concept of one nation-one tax, in order to economically unify the country for the first time, is described this as "pooled sovereignty", which would bring a big change in the working of federalism in the country.

Cooperative and competitive federalism are not mutually exclusive. They have the same basic principle underlying i.e. development of the nation as a whole. Cooperative and competitive federalism may be two sides of the same coin as the competition alone cannot give the best results, it is competition with cooperation that will drive the real change. Centre's support would be required by some states to participate in competitive federalism. Strong states make strong nation and to realise this vision, it requires a "Team India" approach to work for India's development. The passage of GST Bill does usher in a new era in cooperative fiscal federalism and a growing political consensus for economic reforms. Further, the government's structural reforms particularly for land and labour, are now widely seen as necessary for realising the potential of the economy. While all policy-makers and economists believe that "true" cooperative federalism is the way forward, they underline the need for the Centre to include states more aggressively in the decision making process. Most state finance ministers also feel that the Centre's fund allocation to States must be done more judiciously.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] Basu D.D., Shorter Constitution of India, Nagpur, Wadhwa (2004)
- [2] Chanda Ashok, Federalism in India, London, Unwin Brothers (1961)
- [3] Chaubey R.K., Federalism, Autonomy and Centre State Relations, Allahbad, Satyam Books (2007)
- [4] Dua B.D. and M.P. Singh, Indian Federalism in the New Millennium, Delhi, Manohar Publishers (2003)
- [5] Jain Subhash, The Constitution of India – Select Issues and Perceptions, Delhi, Taxmann Publishers (2000)
- [6] Kabbur A.S., Centre Sate Relations in India, Delhi, TRUST Books (2004)
- [7] Khanna H.R., Making India's Constitution, Eastern Book Company, Lucknow (2008)

- [8] Kumar Narendra, Constitutional Law of India, Allahabad, Allahabad Law Agency (2008)
- [9] M.P. Jain, Indian Constitutional Law (5th Edition), Nagpur, Wadhwa (2006)
- [10] Panday J.N., Constitutional Law of India (37th Edition), Allahabad, Central Law Agency (2001)