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**ABSTRACT**

Chairs are among the most commonly used items in daily life, primarily for seating purposes. Numerous varieties are available in the market, tailored to general and specialized needs. In this study, a CAD model of a chair was created in CATIA and imported into ANSYS Workbench. Static analysis was performed on a simple chair model using two different materials through finite element analysis (FEA). The results were compared for equivalent stress, strain, and total deformation
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Concurrent engineering practices offer substantial benefits, including increased design flexibility, enhanced productivity, and cost reduction. The design of new furniture should satisfy three main criteria: it must be aesthetically pleasing, functional, durable, and economically feasible [1]. The introduction of FEM has greatly simplified the validation of furniture designs under various conditions [2]. To maximize these advantages, finite element analysis (FEA) tools should be introduced earlier in the product development cycle, enabling non-analyst designers to use them effectively [3-7]. Without integrating FEA early on, many components are only validated during prototype testing, resulting in costly and time-consuming redesigns if issues are found. A common workaround for non-analyzed parts is over-designing to compensate for unknown factors, often leading to suboptimal products.

FEA technology is widely used in aerospace and automotive industries and is increasingly adopted by furniture manufacturers. Chairs are an essential part of daily life, with plastic chairs commonly used for general purposes, steel-framed chairs with fiber seats popular in offices, and wooden chairs manufactured to suit specific needs. Despite their widespread use, chairs are rarely analyzed for structural integrity and performance. Conducting FEA on chair designs can significantly reduce manufacturing costs and minimize design flaws, contributing to safer, more efficient products.

Jessica Song from JSJ Corporation applied ANSYS software in chair analysis and simulation, following the American National Standard for Office Furnishings established by the Business & Institutional Furniture Manufacturer’s Association (BIFMA). ANSYS was used to simulate BIFMA tests, identifying potential issues and providing insights into chair behavior to optimize the design for regulatory compliance. In another study, Song demonstrated ANSYS’s role in optimizing the structural design of the Clara Table by refining leg wall thickness. The study employed FEA to simulate the table leg assembly under loads per BIFMA standards, with contacts between parts representing real force transitions. Geometrical simplifications were necessary for efficient model size management. This nonlinear FEA approach accurately predicted structural responses, and structural modifications in ANSYS reduced leg deflection by about 20%, keeping stresses within permissible limits.

1. **MATERIAL PROPERTIES**

The materials used in this study for the chair model were Structural Steel and Wood. The properties of these materials are presented in Table 1.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Materials | Young’s Modulus (MPa) | Poisson’s Ratio | Density (Kg/m3) |
| Wood | 9761 | 0.4 | 412 |
| Structural Steel | 21000 | 0.3 | 7800 |

1. **MODELING AND ANALYSIS**

The CAD model of the chair was created using CATIA CAE software. This model was exported in .iges format and imported into SolidWorks, then saved in Parasolid format for subsequent import into ANSYS Workbench.



Figure 1- Cad model imported in Ansys Workbench

**3.1 MESHING**

Meshing of the chair model was performed in ANSYS Workbench using tetrahedral elements. The mesh comprised ,6288 nodes and 2735 elements.

As element size decreases, the number of elements increases proportionally. This increase continuously affects stress and other mechanical parameters, and significantly extends the time required to solve the discretized problems. Determining the optimal element size and count for analysis can therefore be challenging. To address this, a grid independence test was conducted to identify the optimal element size and number [8-14]



Figure 2- Meshed model of Chair

* 1. **BOUNDARY CONDITIONS**

After meshing, boundary conditions were applied to the model. Fixed support was assigned to the four legs of the chair, and a force of 960 N, representing the approximate weight of a 100 kg person, was applied

* 1. **FEA RESULTS**

The comparison table of the FEA results is shown in Table 2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Parameters** | **Wood** | **Structural Steel** |
| Eq. Von-Mises Stress (MPa) | 0.070013 | 0.072324 |
| Total Deformation (mm) | 0.023554 | 0.0011996 |

1. **CONCLUSION**

The FEA results indicate that chairs made from Structural Steel experience higher stress but less deformation compared to wooden chairs. Based on stress criteria, the wooden chair performs better, while the steel chair is preferable if minimizing deformation is prioritized.
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