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**ABSTRACT**

Jallikattu, a traditional bull-taming sport, has long been celebrated in Tamil Nadu, India, especially during the festival of Pongal. The event, which involves participants attempting to hold onto a bull’s hump and tame it, is a spectacle of bravery, strength, and cultural pride. Yet, the practice has become highly controversial in recent years due to concerns over animal cruelty and the welfare of the bulls involved. While many view Jallikattu as an integral part of Tamil culture and agricultural traditions, animal rights activists and legal authorities argue that the event constitutes a violation of animal welfare laws. This research aims to investigate the dual narratives surrounding Jallikattu: Is it truly a celebration of Tamil culture, or is it a battle for the rights of animals? Through an examination of cultural traditions, ethical concerns, and legal frameworks, this paper explores the complex relationship between cultural heritage and animal rights, aiming to provide a comprehensive view of the ongoing debates surrounding Jallikattu.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Jallikattu is a traditional bull-taming sport held during the Tamil harvest festival of Pongal, predominantly in the southern state of Tamil Nadu, India. The event involves participants attempting to hold onto a bull’s hump for as long as possible, with the aim of taming or controlling the animal. This centuries-old ritual is deeply embedded in the cultural identity of Tamil people and is often seen as a symbol of bravery, masculinity, and agricultural traditions. However, in recent decades, Jallikattu has sparked intense debates surrounding animal cruelty and the ethics of human-animal interactions. Animal rights activists, environmentalists, and various international bodies have raised concerns about the welfare of bulls involved in the event, while defenders of the practice argue that it is an essential part of Tamil heritage and should be protected from outside intervention.

Jallikattu, a traditional bull-taming sport, has long been celebrated in Tamil Nadu, India, especially during the festival of Pongal. The event, which involves participants attempting to hold onto a bull’s hump and tame it, is a spectacle of bravery, strength, and cultural pride. Yet, the practice has become highly controversial in recent years due to concerns over animal cruelty and the welfare of the bulls involved. While many view Jallikattu as an integral part of Tamil culture and agricultural traditions, animal rights activists and legal authorities argue that the event constitutes a violation of animal welfare laws.

This research aims to investigate the dual narratives surrounding Jallikattu: Is it truly a celebration of Tamil culture, or is it a battle for the rights of animals? Through an examination of cultural traditions, ethical concerns, and legal frameworks, this paper explores the complex relationship between cultural heritage and animal rights, aiming to provide a comprehensive view of the ongoing debates surrounding Jallikattu.

1. **METHODOLOGY**

This paper adopts a qualitative research methodology, combining historical analysis, legal review, and primary data collection through interviews with key stakeholders. A thorough review of historical texts, court judgments, government regulations, and media reports will be undertaken. This will include the study of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, the 2014 Supreme Court ruling on the ban, and the Tamil Nadu Jallikattu Act of 2017. The analysis will focus on how these legal documents address the tension between cultural practices and animal rights. Media reports, social media discussions, and protest documentation will be analysed to understand how Jallikattu is portrayed in public discourse. The content analysis will highlight the differing narratives surrounding the practice, focusing on the framing of Jallikattu as both a cultural symbol and a human rights issue.

1. **REVIEW OF THE LITERATURES:**

The literature surrounding Jallikattu is diverse, spanning cultural studies, legal analysis, animal rights discourse, and public opinion. This review highlights key contributions from scholars, activists, and legal bodies.

1. Cultural Heritage and Significance: Scholars such as M. S. S. Pandian and K. S. Shankar emphasize the deeply embedded cultural significance of Jallikattu in Tamil society. Pandian (2002) discusses how the practice is connected to rural Tamil identity, with the bull symbolizing strength, masculinity, and the agricultural roots of the community. Jallikattu is viewed not only as a sport but as a way of celebrating the bond between humans and animals within an agrarian context. Similarly, Shankar (2004) argues that Jallikattu embodies the values of bravery and social cohesion, reinforcing the sense of pride and belonging among Tamils.
2. Animal Rights and Welfare: On the other side, animal rights advocates like Maneka Gandhi and organizations such as PETA (People for Ethical Treatment of Animals) have been vocal about the cruelty inherent in Jallikattu. Studies and reports from the Animal Welfare Board of India (AWBI) in 2011 and PETA have documented instances of cruelty, including the beating of bulls, the use of sharp objects like ropes and knives, and the physical distress endured by the animals. Such practices are deemed to violate the principles of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act (1960), which mandates the humane treatment of animals.
3. Legal and Ethical Debates: The Supreme Court of India’s 2014 judgment to ban Jallikattu on the grounds of animal cruelty further fueled the debate. However, after massive protests in Tamil Nadu and political intervention, the Tamil Nadu government passed the *Tamil Nadu Regulation of Jallikattu Act, 2017*, which allows the sport to continue under strict conditions. This legal battle highlights the tension between the protection of traditional cultural practices and the imperative of safeguarding animal rights. Legal scholars have pointed out the difficulties in striking a balance between cultural autonomy and the need for ethical reforms in the treatment of animals (Agarwal, 2017).
4. Modernization vs. Tradition: Some scholars argue that the modern-day execution of Jallikattu has changed significantly from its traditional form, which was more closely tied to agrarian life. In recent decades, Jallikattu has become a more commercialized and larger-scale event, attracting large crowds and media attention. As a result, critics argue that the spectacle has grown more violent, with the focus shifting from tradition to entertainment and tourism. According to Dr. Ramesh (2019), this commercialization has exacerbated the ethical concerns surrounding animal welfare.
5. **OBJECTIVES**

This research hypothesizes that while Jallikattu serves as a vital cultural symbol for many Tamils, its current form, particularly with the commercialization and large crowds, poses significant ethical issues regarding animal welfare. The study further posits that there is potential for reform within the practice to mitigate cruelty while preserving its cultural importance, thus offering a pathway toward a balanced resolution.

This study aims:

1. To examine the cultural and social significance of Jallikattu
2. To analyse the ethical concerns raised by animal rights groups.
3. To explore the potential solutions addressing the concerns about animal cruelty.
4. **DISCUSSION**

Based on the findings, the following has been analysed:

1. **Cultural Identity and Tradition**: Jallikattu holds significant cultural value for the Tamil people. For many, it is not just a sport, but a symbol of rural life, masculinity, and a deep connection to nature and agriculture. The event is viewed as a celebration of Tamil pride, reinforcing social bonds and community cohesion. Supporters argue that banning the sport undermines their cultural heritage and traditional ways of life.
2. **Ethical Considerations**: The ethical concerns surrounding Jallikattu focus on the treatment of bulls during the event. Research by PETA and AWBI has shown that the bulls often experience extreme stress, physical injuries, and sometimes death. Furthermore, bulls are subjected to mistreatment during training, which can include the use of sharp instruments, cruel handling, and prolonged confinement. Animal rights activists argue that no cultural practice should come at the expense of animal welfare, and that the bulls should not be treated as mere objects of entertainment.
3. **Legal Framework and Tensions**: The legal situation surrounding Jallikattu is highly contentious. The 2014 Supreme Court ruling that banned Jallikattu was based on animal cruelty concerns, but the 2017 Tamil Nadu Act reversed the ban, allowing the practice to continue under certain regulations. The legal debates illustrate the difficulty in balancing cultural preservation with the protection of animals. Critics argue that the regulations are often not enforced adequately, leading to continued abuse of animals. There is also the issue of how such laws are perceived by the public—whether as a defence of cultural rights or as a failure to protect animal welfare.
4. **Commercialization and Modernization**: Over the years, Jallikattu has increasingly been commercialized. Large-scale events,where thousands of spectators gather, have made the practice more about entertainment than tradition. This commercialization has contributed to a more dangerous environment for both participants and animals, with organizers sometimes prioritizing spectacle over safety. The increased visibility of Jallikattu in the media and its association with tourism has amplified these concerns.
5. **CONCLUSION**

Jallikattu stands at the crossroads of cultural preservation and ethical responsibility. While the sport is undeniably an important part of Tamil cultural heritage, its ethical implications regarding animal cruelty must be addressed. The commercialization of the practice has added to the complexity of the issue, making it more prone to excesses and violence. The future of Jallikattu lies in reforming the practice in a way that preserves its cultural significance while ensuring the humane treatment of animals. A solution could involve stricter regulations, improved enforcement, and greater community education on animal welfare. A balanced approach would allow Tamil Nadu to continue its traditions without compromising on ethical values. Only through dialogue, legal reform, and cultural sensitivity can Jallikattu continue to exist in a modern, ethically-conscious world.
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