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**Abstract**

This study investigates the relationship between students' scientific attitudes and their socio-economic status, parenting styles, and home environments. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, data were collected from a diverse sample of students through surveys and interviews. The findings reveal significant correlations between students' scientific attitudes and their socio-economic backgrounds, the parenting styles they are exposed to, and the characteristics of their home environments. These results highlight the importance of a supportive and resource-rich upbringing in fostering a positive scientific attitude. The study underscores the need for educational policies that address these external factors to cultivate scientific literacy among students.
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**Introduction**

The development of a scientific attitude is instrumental in shaping the educational trajectory and cognitive disposition of students. This mindset, characterized by attributes such as curiosity, skepticism, open-mindedness, and a reliance on empirical evidence, serves as the cornerstone for academic and professional development (Lederman, Antink, & Bartos, 2014). A robust scientific attitude not only enhances students' problem-solving skills and decision-making capabilities but also significantly contributes to their overall educational success and lifelong learning.

However, the cultivation of a scientific attitude extends beyond the confines of formal education. It is intricately influenced by a multitude of factors, encompassing socio-economic status (SES), parenting styles, and the home environment. These elements play a pivotal role in shaping students' attitudes, motivations, and approaches towards learning and science (Eshach, 2007). Understanding the interplay between these variables and students' scientific attitudes is crucial, as it can provide educators, parents, and policymakers with valuable insights into how best to foster a scientifically literate society.

Socio-economic status, a multifaceted construct that includes factors such as family income, parental education levels, and occupational prestige, has been consistently linked to educational outcomes (Sirin, 2005). Students from higher SES backgrounds often have access to a plethora of resources, including educational materials, supportive learning environments, and opportunities for intellectual engagement outside of school, which can significantly influence their attitudes towards science and learning (Calabrese Barton & Tan, 2009). Conversely, students from lower SES backgrounds may encounter numerous barriers, such as limited access to scientific resources, which can hinder the development of a positive scientific attitude (Reiss, 2005).

Research indicates a clear disparity in scientific literacy and attitudes between students of different socio-economic backgrounds, suggesting that SES is a significant predictor of students' engagement and performance in science (DeWitt, Archer, & Osborne, 2014). This disparity underscores the need to address socio-economic barriers in order to cultivate a more equitable scientific educational landscape.

Parenting styles, characterized by varying degrees of responsiveness, warmth, and demand, significantly influence children's developmental outcomes (Baumrind, 1991). The authoritative parenting style, marked by high levels of warmth and discipline, has been associated with positive academic outcomes and a greater propensity towards a scientific mindset (Gonzalez-DeHass, Willems, & Holbein, 2005). Authoritative parents tend to encourage questioning, critical thinking, and independent learning, which are key components of the scientific attitude.

In contrast, authoritarian and permissive parenting styles may not provide the same level of cognitive stimulation and support for inquiry-based learning, potentially leading to less favorable attitudes towards science (Kim & Hill, 2015). Understanding the influence of parenting styles on students' scientific attitudes can guide parental involvement strategies aimed at fostering a conducive learning environment at home.

The home environment plays a crucial role in shaping children's attitudes and behaviors towards learning and science. A stimulating home environment, characterized by intellectual resources, parental involvement, and a supportive atmosphere, can significantly enhance students' scientific attitudes (Sikorski et al., 2015). Activities that promote curiosity, experimentation, and critical thinking, such as engaging in science-related discussions or projects, can foster a positive disposition towards science.

Conversely, a home environment lacking in intellectual stimulation or supportive interactions may impede the development of a scientific attitude (Tenenbaum & Leaper, 2003). This highlights the importance of creating home environments that are conducive to learning and exploration, as they can significantly influence students' engagement with and attitudes towards science.

The development of a scientific attitude among students is a complex process influenced by various factors beyond the classroom. Socio-economic status, parenting styles, and the home environment each play significant roles in shaping students' attitudes towards science and learning. By understanding and addressing these factors, educators, parents, and policymakers can work collaboratively to foster environments that support the cultivation of a positive scientific attitude, ultimately contributing to the development of a scientifically literate and engaged society.

**Studies on Scientific Attitude and Its Importance**

Scientific attitude encompasses the qualities of curiosity, skepticism, critical thinking, and an empirical approach to understanding the world around us. It's not just beneficial for scientific pursuits but is a crucial component of effective problem-solving and decision-making in everyday life. Studies have highlighted its significance in enhancing students' academic performance, fostering a lifelong love for learning, and preparing students for informed citizenship (Lederman, Antink, & Bartos, 2014). These attitudes are essential for the development of scientific literacy, enabling individuals to make informed decisions about health, environment, and technology in a rapidly changing world (National Research Council, 2012).

**Impact of Socio-Economic Status on Educational Outcomes**

Socio-economic status (SES) has been widely researched for its effects on educational outcomes. The consensus is clear: higher SES often correlates with better educational achievements, due to factors like access to resources, educational support at home, and enrollment in higher-quality schools (Sirin, 2005). For instance, Duncan and Murnane (2011) noted that children from higher SES families have access to more educational materials and experiences, which can influence their attitudes towards learning, including the development of a scientific attitude. This disparity highlights the need for interventions aimed at leveling the educational playing field.

**Effects of Parenting Style on Children's Learning and Attitudes**

The influence of parenting style on children's educational development cannot be overstated. Authoritative parenting, characterized by warmth, support, and structured guidance, has been associated with positive outcomes in children’s academic achievements and attitudes toward learning (Gonzalez-DeHass, Willems, & Doan Holbein, 2005). Conversely, authoritarian and permissive parenting styles have been linked to less favorable academic outcomes. Steinberg (2001) suggests that the supportive nature of authoritative parenting fosters an environment conducive to the development of autonomy and intrinsically motivated learning, which are crucial for the development of a positive scientific attitude.

**Role of the Home Environment in Educational Development**

The home environment plays a crucial role in shaping the educational outcomes of children. Beyond the physical resources available, the intellectual and emotional support provided within the home significantly impacts children's attitudes towards learning and their academic success (Bronfenbrenner, 1979). Environments that promote exploration, questioning, and discussion of scientific ideas contribute to the development of a scientific attitude (Tenenbaum & Leaper, 2003). Furthermore, Sikorski et al. (2015) highlighted the importance of parental involvement in children’s learning, demonstrating that engagement in science-related activities at home can significantly enhance students' scientific understanding and attitudes.

**Synthesis**

The literature review underscores the multifaceted influences on the development of a scientific attitude among students. The importance of fostering this attitude is evident, given its role in promoting academic success, critical thinking, and informed decision-making. Socio-economic status emerges as a critical determinant of educational outcomes, suggesting that disparities in SES can lead to inequalities in the development of scientific attitudes. This underscores the necessity for targeted interventions to mitigate the effects of socio-economic disparities.

Parenting style also plays a pivotal role in shaping children’s attitudes and dispositions towards learning and science. The supportive and structured environment provided by authoritative parenting is particularly beneficial for fostering a positive scientific attitude. This finding suggests that parenting interventions that promote authoritative practices could be beneficial.

Finally, the role of the home environment extends beyond the provision of material resources to include the intellectual and emotional support necessary for learning. Homes that engage children in scientific inquiry and discussion promote the development of a scientific attitude, emphasizing the importance of parental involvement in education.

**Conclusion**

The development of a scientific attitude in students is influenced by a complex interplay of socio-economic status, parenting style, and home environment. These factors collectively impact the acquisition of scientific knowledge and the cultivation of attitudes conducive to scientific inquiry and critical thinking. Addressing these factors through educational policies and practices is crucial for promoting scientific literacy and ensuring that all students have the opportunity to develop a positive scientific attitude, regardless of their background.
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