**A STUDY ON CHALLENGES FACED BY FREIGHT FORWARDERS AND CARRIERS IN MANAGING BREAK BULK CARGO**

**Arya Ajay1, Dr. S. Sudha2**

MBA (Shipping & Logistics Management), School of Management Studies, Vels Institute of Science,

Technology & Advanced Studies Chennai 600 117, India.

Associate Professor, MBA, School of Management Studies, Vels Institute of Science, Technology &

Advanced Studies Chennai 600 117, India.

**ABSTRACT**

Break bulk cargo shipments involve transporting goods that must be loaded individually, often because they are oversized, heavy, or uniquely shaped. Freight forwarders and carriers handling break bulk cargo face distinct challenges that can impact operational efficiency and cost-effectiveness. This study examines key obstacles such as limited port infrastructure, the need for specialized equipment, complex handling and storage procedures, and the risks of cargo damage during loading and transit. Additionally, regulatory hurdles, customs documentation issues, and coordination difficulties between various service providers contribute to shipment delays and increased costs. Weather disruptions and geopolitical uncertainties further exacerbate operational risks. Through industry analysis and professional insights, the study highlights the urgent need for better planning, investment in modern handling technologies, and stronger collaboration between freight forwarders, carriers, and port authorities. The research also recommends adopting advanced tracking and risk management systems to enhance the reliability and safety of break bulk cargo operations. Understanding these challenges is crucial for improving service quality, reducing delays, and maintaining competitiveness in the break bulk shipping sector.

**Keywords:** Break Bulk Cargo, Freight Forwarders, Carriers, Shipping Challenges, Cargo Handling

1. **INTRODUCTION**

Break bulk cargo refers to products shipped in fragments, unlike bulk or containerized shipments. This form of shipping is essential for industries dealing with large, heavy, or irregularly shaped commodities, such as machinery, steel, automobiles, and construction materials. Break bulk shipping has been a crucial aspect of international trade for centuries, with goods being manually loaded and unloaded in various forms.

It has been used for ancient trade routes, the Industrial Revolution (18th-19th Century), and the rise of containerization in the 20th century. Despite the revolution, break bulk shipping remains used for oversized and irregular cargo. Today, heavy industries, infrastructure projects, and large freight that cannot be containerized still rely on break bulk shipment. The modern era has seen advancements in digital logistics and handling equipment making break bulk shipping more efficient.

Break bulk cargo is a type of transportation that involves moving items as individual components, such as machinery, steel coils, and automobiles, rather than in containers. This method is suitable for items like machinery, turbines, and construction equipment that cannot be containerized. Break bulk cargo is difficult to handle due to its various sizes and shapes, necessitating meticulous planning for storage space and cargo safety. It requires more labor and equipment, as it requires hand-loading and unloading, which can lead to longer port stays and increased handling time. It is ideal for heavy or oversized items like industrial machinery, turbines, and construction equipment, often classified as "project cargo."

However, break bulk cargo also comes with increased shipping and handling costs, higher port fees, and potential damage to cargo. Longer loading and unloading times are required due to the separate handling of each unit. Secure stowage and lashing are essential to prevent cargo shifting during transit. Break bulk cargo is ideal for smaller ports without infrastructure for container handling, as they can be loaded and unloaded using conventional cranes or onboard equipment. However, break bulk freight is more vulnerable to theft and damage compared to containerized shipments, and proper documentation, freight monitoring, and security measures can help reduce these risks.

Break bulk cargo includes project cargo, heavy lift cargo, out-of-gauge cargo, non-containerized cargo, roll-on/roll-off cargo, liquid bulk cargo, and dry bulk cargo. Project cargo involves large, bulky products requiring special logistics and management. Heavy lift cargo involves heavy machinery and requires specialized handling. Non-containerized cargo includes large or unusually shaped items. Roll-on/roll-off cargo involves vehicles and equipment.

Break bulk cargo shipments are ideal for large and irregular items, offering cost-effectiveness, flexibility in shipping, reduced damage risk, access to limited infrastructure ports, and more effective handling of special cargo. These shipments are suitable for steel pipes, construction equipment, and large machinery, and can be transported through smaller or less developed ports.

.

**PROBLEM OF THE STUDY**

Break bulk cargo, which requires individual loading, presents unique logistical, operational, and financial challenges for freight forwarders and carriers. These shipments vary in size, weight, shape, and handling requirements, making them more complex to plan, transport, and deliver efficiently. Issues include inadequate port infrastructure, inconsistent cargo handling practices, high risk of damage or loss, delays due to manual operations, limited specialized equipment availability, and coordination difficulties among stakeholders. Despite their critical role in sectors like construction, oil and gas, and heavy machinery, there is limited research on systemic challenges faced by logistics providers.

**NEED FOR THE STUDY**

The study aims to improve break bulk cargo handling efficiency by identifying bottlenecks, reducing cargo damage, theft, and pilferage, and enhancing cost-effectiveness by analysing freight rates, labour costs, and port handling charges. It also examines port infrastructure constraints and proposes solutions for timely deliveries. The study also explores the role of digitalization and automation in logistics, ensuring compliance with international regulations and safety standards, and providing insights for freight forwarders and carriers to adapt to market demands and customer satisfaction.

**OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY**

**Primary Objective**

* To understand the challenges faced by freight forwarders and carriers in handling break bulk cargo, including loading, unloading, and storage issues.

**Secondary Objective**

* To understand the regulatory and compliance issues affecting break bulk cargo movements across different regions and ports.
* To assess the infrastructure limitations at ports and terminals that affect the efficiency of break bulk cargo operations.
* To study the impact of delays, congestion, and supply chain disruptions on break bulk cargo movement and overall logistics efficiency.

**SCOPE OF THE STUDY**

* It identifies operational issues like cargo handling, loading/unloading, storage, and transportation inefficiencies.
* It analyses cost-related challenges like freight rates, labour expenses, and port charges.
* It explores regulatory and compliance issues related to customs clearance, documentation, and safety standards.
* It evaluates infrastructure limitations at ports, terminals, and storage facilities.
* It investigates cargo security risks and suggests mitigation best practices.
* It examines technological advancements in digitalization and automation.
* It provides strategic recommendations for operational efficiency, cost reduction, and supply chain reliability.

1. **LITERATURE REVIEW**

* **Smith, J., Patel, S., & Garcia, M. (2023):** “Challenges in Break Bulk Cargo Logistics: Operational and Economic Perspectives”**.** The objective of this review is to provide a comprehensive analysis of the challenges faced by freight forwarders and carriers in break bulk cargo shipments. The study identifies major logistical problems, including the requirement for experienced labour to ensure efficient loading and unloading, high operational costs related with manual labour and specialized equipment, and logistical complexity resulting from the variable shapes and sizes of break bulk goods. The impact of shifting fuel prices and international trade regulations on the effectiveness of break bulk transportation is also highlighted in the analysis.
* **Lee, Wang, and Khan (2022**)**:** “Break Bulk Cargo Transportation: Challenges and Technological Solutions”.This review presents a detailed review of the issues freight forwarders and carriers face in break bulk cargo transportation. They examine issues such ineffective port infrastructure that causes delays, security threats brought on by cargo exposure while in transit, complicated regulations pertaining to international shipping compliance, and technical limitations that prevent automation in handling. In order to get beyond these obstacles and enhance break bulk logistics, the report highlights the importance of digitalization, risk management, and strategic planning.
* **Brown, R., & Wilson, T. (2021):** “Financial Strategies in Break Bulk Shipping: Costs, Risks, and Optimization”**.** They examine the financial effects of managing break bulk cargo, paying particular attention to the effects of changing freight rates, port fees, and insurance premiums. In addition to discussing how market volatility impacts profitability, the authors offer cost-cutting tactics include shipping route optimization, real-time cargo tracking investments, and using bulk contracts with suppliers to stabilize costs.
* **Johnson, M., & Cooper, L. (2020):** “Regulatory Frameworks in Break Bulk Logistics: Compliance and Challenges”**.** They examine how regulatory and policy frameworks influence break bulk logistics. Their study highlights the challenges posed by inconsistent customs regulations across different countries, delays caused by excessive documentation requirements, and the necessity of standardized international procedures to guarantee compliance while reducing bureaucratic inefficiencies.
* **Martinez, P., & Gomez, H. (2019):** “Sustainable Break Bulk Shipping: Environmental Challenges and Solutions”. They explores the effects of break bulk shipment on the environment, paying special attention to carbon emissions and fuel use. The authors discuss how the adoption of eco-friendly port operations, cleaner fuel alternatives, and sustainable cargo handling practices can reduce the environmental footprint of break bulk logistics. They also highlight legislative efforts and regulatory pressures that promote the use of environmentally friendly shipping methods.
* **Davis, N., & Thompson, E. (2018):** “Workforce Management in Break Bulk Logistics: Safety, Training, and Efficiency”. They focus on labour-related concerns when transporting broken bulk material. Their study highlights how crucial personnel training is to improving operational effectiveness and safety. The study finds shortage of competent workers might result in more accidents, delays, and cargo damage. It implies that the productivity of break bulk shipping operations can be greatly increased by funding safety precautions and employee training initiatives.

1. **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

Research methodology is the systematic approach used in a research study to collect, analyze, and interpret data in a structured and scientific manner. It entails establishing the research problem, developing hypotheses, picking a good research design, and figuring out how best to gather and analyze data. Selecting a sample strategy, guaranteeing the authenticity and reliability of the data, and resolving ethical issues like informed permission and confidentiality are further components of the process. A clearly established research technique guarantees the study's objectivity, reliability, and reproducibility. It assists researchers in solving difficult problems, coming to well-informed conclusions, and adding significant information to their disciplines. Methodologies may be qualitative, quantitative, or a mix of the two, depending on the type of study. For any research project to be successful and produce accurate data, a solid technique is essential.

* 1. **Research Design**

Research design is a systematic approach to conducting a study, guiding the researcher in gathering, analyzing, and interpreting data to answer specific research questions. It includes key elements like problem, objectives, methodology, sampling strategies, data methods, and analytic processes. A well-structured design ensures accurate results, minimizes biases, and enhances the credibility of findings.

**Descriptive Research Design**

Descriptive research design is a systematic method for collecting, analyzing, and presenting information about a specific phenomenon, group, or situation. It aims to provide a thorough understanding of a subject by answering questions like "what," "who," "where," "when," and "how." It is commonly used in social sciences, business, healthcare, and market research to investigate habits, trends, and traits.

**3.2 Sampling Techniques**

**Purposive Sampling**

Purposive sampling (also called judgmental, selective, or subjective sampling) is a non-probability sampling technique where researchers intentionally select participants based on specific criteria such as experience, expertise, or relevance to the study.

**Sample Area**

The sample area of the study is freight forwarding & carrier companies, Chennai.

**Sample Size**

The sample size for the study undertaken was 200 each from freight forwarding & carrier companies.

* 1. **Method of Data Collection**

**Primary Data**

Primary data is information that has been gathered for a particular purpose straight from the source. It is obtained using techniques like surveys, interviews, experiments, and firsthand observations. Since original data is gathered directly from the source, it is typically accurate, current, and pertinent to the goals of the researcher. However, because acquiring primary data necessitates enough preparation, resources, and effort, it can be expensive and time-consuming. Primary data offers insightful information, but there are drawbacks to take into account, including biased responses, trouble reaching a large sample, and high expenses. Primary data is frequently used by organizations, researchers, and businesses to make well-informed decisions.

**Secondary Data**

Secondary data is information that has already been collected and published by others. Research papers, periodicals, corporate records, government reports, and internet databases are some of the sources from which it is gathered. When primary data collecting is impractical or unneeded, secondary data is frequently employed since it saves money and time. Its precision is dependent on the validity of the original source, though, and it might not always be tailored to the researcher's requirements. Companies frequently use secondary data for market research, such as examining historical sales data to forecast future demand or relying on public reports to analyze industry trends. Before using secondary data to inform decisions, researchers should confirm its validity and applicability, even though it can be helpful for comprehending broad trends and historical Insights.

* 1. **Statistical Tools Used**

**Descriptive Statistics**

Descriptive statistics is a data analysis which groups and summarizes data to facilitate comprehension and interpretation. Using tables, graphical representations, or numerical computations, it focuses on showcasing a dataset's essential characteristics. Descriptive statistics' main instruments are measures of central tendency, which show the average or typical values in a dataset. Examples of these include the mean, median, and mode. In order to better understand the spread or variability of the data, it also incorporates measures of dispersion such as range, variance, and standard deviation. Using these tools, descriptive statistics give researchers and analysts a clear and concise overview of the data, allowing them to spot trends, patterns, and outliers without drawing conclusions outside of the dataset.

**Correlation Analysis**

Correlation analysis is a statistical method used to evaluate the strength and direction of the relationship between two or more variables. Researchers and analysts can use it to determine whether a change in one variable correlates with a change in another. Pearson’s correlation coefficient, which indicates positive or negative connections, is the most often used metric. Its values range from -1 to +1. If the value is near 0, there is no correlation. Although correlation analysis might be helpful in spotting trends and connections, it does not prove causality. It is frequently used in disciplines like business, psychology, economics, and the social sciences to make defensible conclusions based on data patterns.

**Anova**

An analysis of variance, or ANOVA, is a statistical method used to see if the means of three or more groups differ significantly from one another. The between-group variance (the difference between group means and the overall mean) and the within-group variance (the difference between individual data points within each group) are compared. An F-ratio is the end result, which shows if the group differences are more likely to be the consequence of something other than chance. All group means are equal, according to the null hypothesis, which is rejected if the p-value is less than the significance level, which is usually 0.05. Since ANOVA cannot identify which groups differ, post-hoc tests—like Tukey’s HSD—are employed for additional investigation. ANOVA is frequently used to evaluate the effects of various treatments or conditions across several groups in domains like as psychology, health, and business.

**Linear Regression**

Linear regression is a statistical method used to examine the relationship between a dependent variable and one or more independent variables. The objective is to determine which linear equation (straight line) best captures this relationship. Simple linear regression is the relationship between changes in one independent variable and changes in the dependent variable. Multiple linear regression is used when there are several independent variables. To make sure the line fits the data as closely as possible, the objective is to reduce the discrepancies between the observed and anticipated values. To generate predictions, comprehend correlations, and spot patterns, linear regression is frequently employed in disciplines including economics, business, and the social sciences. Measures like p-values, which determine the significance of the variables, and R-squared, which shows how well the model explains the variation in the dependent variable, are used to evaluate the model’s performance.

1. **ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION**
   1. **Descriptive Statistics**

**Freight Forwarders**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Descriptive Statistics** | | | | | |
|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| Operational & Coordination Challenges | 200 | 5 | 22 | 10.15 | 2.755 |
| Infrastructure & Handling | 200 | 5 | 21 | 12.88 | 2.916 |
| Documentation & Compliance | 200 | 7 | 25 | 12.66 | 2.912 |
| Cost, Insurance, Risk | 200 | 6 | 22 | 13.64 | 2.981 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 200 |  |  |  |  |

**INFERENCE**

The descriptive statistics show that operational & coordination challenges has the lowest mean score (10.15) and cost, insurance, risk the highest (13.64). Infrastructure & handling and documentation & compliance have similar mean values of 12.88 and 12.66, respectively. Cost, insurance, risk also shows the greatest variability, while operational & coordination challenges shows the least. Overall, all four variables display moderate variability, with scores spread across reasonable ranges.

**Carriers**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Descriptive Statistics** | | | | | |
|  | N | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| Operational & Cargo Handling | 200 | 5 | 17 | 8.94 | 2.252 |
| Port Infrastructure & Equipments | 200 | 5 | 18 | 10.74 | 3.251 |
| Safety, Security & Documentation | 200 | 5 | 22 | 10.22 | 2.836 |
| Financial & Commercial Issues | 200 | 6 | 20 | 10.50 | 3.504 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 200 |  |  |  |  |

**INFERENCE**

The descriptive statistics show that operational & cargo handling has the lowest mean score (8.94), while port infrastructure & equipments has the highest (10.74). Safety, security, documentation and financial & commercial issues have mean values of 10.22 and 10.50, respectively. Financial & commercial issues shows the highest variability with a standard deviation of 3.504, while operational & cargo handling shows the least variability. Overall, the carriers' responses are moderately spread across all four variables.

**4.2 Correlation Analysis**

**Freight Forwarders**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Correlations** | | | | | |
|  | | Operational & Coordination Challenges | Infrastructure & Handling | Documentation & Compliance | Cost, Insurance, Risk |
| Operational & Coordination Challenges | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .328\*\* | .387\*\* | .228\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .000 | .000 | .001 |
| N | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Infrastructure & Handling | Pearson Correlation | .328\*\* | 1 | .526\*\* | .389\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 |  | .000 | .000 |
| N | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Documentation & Compliance | Pearson Correlation | .387\*\* | .526\*\* | 1 | .368\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 |  | .000 |
| N | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Cost, Insurance, Risk | Pearson Correlation | .228\*\* | .389\*\* | .368\*\* | 1 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .001 | .000 | .000 |  |
| N | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| \*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). | | | | | |

**INFERENCE**

The correlation analysis shows that all variables are positively and significantly correlated at the 0.01 level. Operational and Coordination Challenges have a moderate positive correlation with Infrastructure and Handling (r = 0.328) and Documentation and Compliance (r = 0.387), and a weaker correlation with Cost, Insurance, and Risk (r = 0.228). Infrastructure and Handling is strongly correlated with Documentation and Compliance (r = 0.526) and moderately with Cost, Insurance, and Risk (r = 0.389). Documentation and Compliance also shows a moderate positive correlation with Cost, Insurance, and Risk (r = 0.368).

**Carriers**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Correlations** | | | | | |
|  | | Operational & Cargo Handling | Port Infrastructure & Equipments | Safety, Security, Documentation | Financial & Commercial Issues |
| Operational & Cargo Handling | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .394\*\* | .407\*\* | .208\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .000 | .000 | .003 |
| N | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Port Infrastructure & Equipments | Pearson Correlation | .394\*\* | 1 | .545\*\* | .567\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 |  | .000 | .000 |
| N | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Safety, Security, Documentation | Pearson Correlation | .407\*\* | .545\*\* | 1 | .508\*\* |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | .000 |  | .000 |
| N | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Financial & Commercial Issues | Pearson Correlation | .208\*\* | .567\*\* | .508\*\* | 1 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | .003 | .000 | .000 |  |
| N | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| \*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). | | | | | |

**INFERENCE**

The correlation analysis shows that all variables are positively and significantly related at the 0.01 level. Operational and Cargo Handling is moderately correlated with Port Infrastructure and Equipments (r = 0.394) and Safety, Security, Documentation (r = 0.407), but shows a weaker correlation with Financial and Commercial Issues (r = 0.208). Port Infrastructure and Equipments has strong positive correlations with both Safety, Security, Documentation (r = 0.545) and Financial and Commercial Issues (r = 0.567). Similarly, Safety, Security, Documentation is strongly correlated with Financial and Commercial Issues (r = 0.508).

**4.3 Regression Analysis**

**Freight Forwarders**


|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Model Summaryb** | | | | |
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1 | .578a | .334 | .324 | 2.398 |
| a. Predictors: (Constant), Cost, Insurance & Risk, Operations & Coordination, Documentation & Compliance | | | | |
| b. Dependent Variable: Infrastructure & Handling | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ANOVAa** | | | | | | |
| Model | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| 1 | Regression | 564.950 | 3 | 188.317 | 32.753 | .000b |
| Residual | 1126.925 | 196 | 5.750 |  |  |
| Total | 1691.875 | 199 |  |  |  |
| a. Dependent Variable: Infrastructure & Handling | | | | | | |
| b. Predictors: (Constant), Cost, Insurance & Risk, Operations & Coordination, Documentation & Compliance | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Coefficientsa** | | | | | | |
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| B | Std. Error | Beta |
| 1 | (Constant) | 3.633 | .985 |  | 3.688 | .000 |
| Operations & Coordination | .131 | .067 | .124 | 1.955 | .052 |
| Documentation & Compliance | .400 | .067 | .400 | 6.009 | .000 |
| Cost, Insurance & Risk | .208 | .062 | .213 | 3.379 | .001 |
| a. Dependent Variable: Infrastructure & Handling | | | | | | |

**INFERENCE**

The regression analysis indicates a statistically significant model (F = 32.753, p < .001), explaining approximately 33.4% of the variance in the dependent variable Infrastructure & Handling (R² = .334). Among the predictors Cost, Insurance & Risk, Operations & Coordination, Documentation & Compliance. Documentation & Compliance (β = .400, p < .001) and Cost, Insurance & Risk (β = .213, p = .001) are significant contributors, while Operations & Coordination (β = .124, p = .052) is marginally significant at the 0.05 level. The standard error of the estimate is 2.398, suggesting moderate prediction accuracy. Overall, the model suggests that Documentation & Compliance and Cost, Insurance & Risk are meaningful predictors of Infrastructure & Handling.



**Carriers**


|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Model Summaryb** | | | | |
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1 | .668a | .446 | .437 | 2.439 |
| a. Predictors: (Constant), Operational & Cargo Handling, Financial & Commercial Issues, Safety, Security, Documentation | | | | |
| b. Dependent Variable: Port Infrastructure & Equipments | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ANOVAa** | | | | | | |
| Model | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| 1 | Regression | 937.272 | 3 | 312.424 | 52.532 | .000b |
| Residual | 1165.683 | 196 | 5.947 |  |  |
| Total | 2102.955 | 199 |  |  |  |
| a. Dependent Variable: Port Infrastructure & Equipments | | | | | | |
| b. Predictors: (Constant), Operational & Cargo Handling, Financial & Commercial Issues, Safety, Security, Documentation | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Coefficientsa** | | | | | | |
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| B | Std. Error | Beta |
| 1 | (Constant) | 1.196 | .835 |  | 1.433 | .153 |
| Safety, Security, Documentation | .302 | .076 | .264 | 3.985 | .000 |
| Financial & Commercial Issues | .362 | .057 | .390 | 6.316 | .000 |
| Operational & Cargo Handling | .297 | .084 | .206 | 3.530 | .001 |
| a. Dependent Variable: Port Infrastructure & Equipments | | | | | | |

**INFERENCE**

The regression model predicting Port Infrastructure & Equipments is statistically significant (F = 52.532, p < .001) and explains approximately 44.6% of the variance in the dependent variable (R² = .446), with a standard error of 2.439. All three predictors Operational & Cargo Handling (β = .206, p = .001), Safety, Security, Documentation (β = .264, p < .001), and Financial & Commercial Issues (β = .390, p < .001) significantly contribute to the model, with Financial & Commercial Issues having the strongest influence. The constant term is not significant (p = .153), indicating that the predictors, rather than the baseline value, drive the variation in Port Infrastructure & Equipments. The model demonstrates good explanatory power and reliable predictor contributions.

****

**4.4 Anova**

**Freight Forwarders**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ANOVA** | | | | | |
| Infrastructure & Handling | | | | | |
|  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 359.670 | 15 | 23.978 | 3.312 | .000 |
| Within Groups | 1332.205 | 184 | 7.240 |  |  |
| Total | 1691.875 | 199 |  |  |  |

**INFERENCE**

F-value = 3.312: This is the test statistic for the ANOVA. It compares the variance between the groups to the variance within the groups.

Significance (Sig.) = 0.000: This is the p-value. Since it is less than 0.05, the result is statistically significant.

**Carriers**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ANOVA** | | | | | |
| Port Infrastructure & Equipments | | | | | |
|  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 364.615 | 12 | 30.385 | 3.269 | .000 |
| Within Groups | 1738.340 | 187 | 9.296 |  |  |
| Total | 2102.955 | 199 |  |  |  |

**INFERENCE**

F-value = 3.269: This value indicates the ratio of variance between groups to variance within groups.

Significance (Sig.) = 0.000: Since this value is less than 0.05, the result is statistically significant.

1. **SUGGESTIONS**

To improve cargo handling efficiency and reduce damage, train employees in break bulk handling techniques. Coordinate with stakeholders, conduct cargo surveys, and create comprehensive stowage and lifting plans. Invest in cutting-edge handling tools and work early with stevedores and port authorities to secure berthing slots. Implement cargo insurance and risk management procedures to protect against financial losses. Implement digital tools for improved visibility and operational control. Maximize vessel space usage, lower freight costs, and streamline logistics coordination for large and oversized cargo. Monitor weather forecasts and make backup plans for goods. Improve cargo paperwork for easier customs clearance and reduce extra costs. Encourage flexible scheduling agreements with clients and carriers to account for potential delays and reduce costs.

1. **CONCLUSION**

Carriers and freight forwarders have many difficulties when handling break bulk cargo. Break bulk cargo, in contrast to containerized shipments, necessitates specific handling, tailored storage options, and close coordination throughout the whole transit process. While carriers deal with operational inefficiencies, restricted vessel space optimization, and greater personnel expenses, freight forwarders must manage complicated paperwork, a variety of cargo dimensions, increased damage risks, and port congestion. Complexity is further increased by uneven port infrastructure and disparate national regulatory requirements. Strong planning, the purchase of qualified personnel and equipment, cooperation from all parties involved, and the use of technology to enhance risk management, coordination, and tracking are all necessary to overcome these obstacles. Maintaining service quality and customer happiness as well as the overall cost-effectiveness and competitiveness of logistics operations depend on the appropriate management of break bulk cargo.

1. **REFERENCES**

* **Martin Stopford** in his book Maritime Economics (3rd Edition, 2009)
* **Patrick M. Alderton** in Reeds Sea Transport: Operation and Economics (7th Edition, 2011)
* **Alan E. Branch** in Elements of Shipping (8th Edition, 2007)
* **David J. Eyres** in Ship Construction (6th Edition, 2007)
* **Robert Lester** in Shipping and Logistics Management (2016)
* **Gorton, Hillenius, Ihre, and Sandevarn** in Shipbroking and Chartering Practice (7th Edition, 2014)
* **Wayne K. Talley** in The Business of Shipping (9th Edition, 2017)
* [**https://www.imo.org**](https://www.imo.org)
* [**https://www.statista.com/topics/1367/shipping-industry/**](https://www.statista.com/topics/1367/shipping-industry/)
* [**https://www.marineinsight.com**](https://www.marineinsight.com)
* [**https://www.worldshipping.org**](https://www.worldshipping.org)
* [**https://shipmin.gov.in/**](https://shipmin.gov.in/)
* [**https://www.drewry.co.uk/**](https://www.drewry.co.uk/)